



2025 Annual Compliance Report

Impact Assessment Agency of Canada

Prepared by:
Cedar LNG Partners LP
1800-1177 West Hastings St
Vancouver, BC V6E 2K3



Executive Summary

This annual report has been prepared to address Condition 2.10 of the Decision Statement and summarizes the status of the Cedar LNG Project's compliance with the Decision Statement from January 1, 2025, to December 31, 2025.

Cedar LNG Partners LP, by its general partner Cedar LNG Partners (GP) Ltd. (Cedar), a Haisla Nation-led partnership with Pembina Pipeline Corporation, is constructing the Cedar LNG Project (the Project), a liquefied natural gas (LNG) export facility on Haisla-owned land in the traditional territory of Haisla Nation. Cedar declared a positive Final Investment Decision on June 25, 2024, making history as the world's first Indigenous majority-owned LNG facility

The Project underwent a substituted environmental assessment from 2019 to 2023 under the British Columbia (BC) *Environmental Assessment Act* and federal *Impact Assessment Act*. Cedar received its Environmental Assessment Certificate #E23-01 under the *Environmental Assessment Act* on March 13, 2023, and a positive Decision Statement under the *Impact Assessment Act* on March 15, 2023.

On October 25, 2024, Cedar submitted an application to the BC Environmental Assessment Office (EAO) and the Impact Assessment Agency of Canada (the Agency) to amend EAC #23-01 and the *Impact Assessment Act* Decision Statement for an alternate transmission line route, installation of a power distribution line, and an increase to the Marine Terminal Area. Amendment 1 was issued on May 30, 2025. In July of 2025, Cedar received Amendment 2 from IAAC, allowing the disposal of overburden, excess rock, topsoil and organic materials at specified locations long the pipeline route.

Construction within the Marine Terminal Area continued through the reporting period, including grubbing, installation of drainage and erosion control measures, minor grading and start of construction on a mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) wall. Construction on the marine terminal was paused for winter in late 2025, with no non-compliances identified in the reporting year. The Decision Statement conditions, and a description of how these conditions continued to be addressed by Cedar in the reporting year are outlined in **Appendix A** of the report.

Cedar continued to implement its Community Feedback Process through 2025, providing the public with multiple avenues—telephone, email, website, social media, and print media—to understand the construction process and submit Project-related feedback or questions. Cedar also engaged actively with Indigenous Nations and the local community to share Project updates, including those related to the Project's amendments, construction progress and employment and training plans. Engagement highlights included Haisla Nation job fairs, community meetings with Elders, and an open house in November of 2025. Details of engagement activities are detailed throughout this report and highlighted in **Section 3** and **Section 7**.

During the reporting period, baseline studies continued to support implementation of follow-up programs and management plans. These baseline programs are discussed in **Section 6** of the report.

During the reporting year, Cedar continued to implement required management plans and procedures. **Appendix A** provides a condition-by-condition description of how Cedar complied with the Decision Statement.

Résumé

Ce rapport annuel a été préparé en réponse à la condition 2.10 de l'énoncé de décision et résume l'état de la conformité du projet de Cedar LNG avec l'énoncé de décision relatif à la période de déclaration du 1^{er} janvier au 31 décembre 2025.

Cedar LNG Partners LP, par son partenaire général Cedar LNG Partners (GP) Ltd. (Cedar), un partenariat dirigé par la nation Haisla avec Pembina Pipeline Corporation, réalise le projet Cedar LNG (le projet), une installation d'exportation de gaz naturel liquéfié (LNG) sur des terres appartenant à la nation Haisla, qui fait partie de son territoire traditionnel. Cedar a rendu une décision définitive d'investissement le 25 juin 2024, ce qui permettra l'érection de la première usine de gaz naturel liquéfié au monde détenue majoritairement par des autochtones, une première dans l'histoire.

Le projet a fait l'objet d'une évaluation environnementale de substitution de 2019 à 2023 en vertu de la loi sur la protection de l'environnement de la Colombie-Britannique et de la loi sur l'évaluation d'impact du Canada (AEIC). Cedar a reçu son certificat d'évaluation environnementale (EAC) n° E23-01 en vertu de la loi sur l'évaluation environnementale le 13 mars 2023, et un énoncé de décision favorable en vertu de la loi sur l'évaluation d'impact le 15 mars 2023.

Le 25 octobre 2024, Cedar a soumis au Bureau des évaluations environnementales (EAO) de la Colombie-Britannique et à l'Agence d'évaluation d'impact du Canada (l'Agence) une demande de modification de l'EAC n° 23-01 et de l'énoncé de décision en vertu de la loi sur l'évaluation d'impact pour un tracé différent de la ligne de transport, l'installation d'une ligne de distribution d'électricité et l'agrandissement de la zone du terminal maritime. L'avis de modification a été publié le 30 mai 2025. En juillet 2025, Cedar a reçu l'avis de modification 2 de l'AEIC, pour autoriser l'élimination des morts-terrains, des roches excédentaires, de la terre végétale et des matières organiques à des endroits précis le long du tracé du gazoduc.

Les travaux de construction dans la zone du terminal maritime se sont poursuivis tout au long de la période de déclaration, dont l'essouchement, la mise en place de mesures de drainage et de contrôle de l'érosion, le nivellement mineur et le début de la construction d'un mur de terre stabilisé mécaniquement. La construction du terminal maritime a été interrompue pour l'hiver à la fin de 2025, sans qu'aucune non-conformité n'ait été relevée au cours de l'année de déclaration. Les conditions de l'énoncé de décision et une description de la manière dont ces conditions ont été prises en compte par Cedar durant l'année de déclaration figurent à l'**annexe A** du rapport.

Cedar a poursuivi la mise en œuvre du processus de consultation du public tout au long de l'année 2025, en offrant à celui-ci divers moyens (téléphone, courriel, site Web, médias sociaux et presse écrite) pour comprendre le processus de construction et soumettre des commentaires ou des questions concernant le projet. Cedar a réalisé des activités de mobilisation auprès des communautés autochtones et de la collectivité locale, notamment en ce qui concerne les mises à jour et les modifications au projet, l'avancement de la construction, les occasions d'emploi et la formation. Notamment, des salons de l'emploi pour la nation Haisla, des rencontres avec les aînés et des journées portes ouvertes ont été organisés en novembre 2025. Les activités de mobilisations sont détaillées dans le présent rapport et mises en évidence dans les **sections 3 et 7**.

Au cours de la période de déclaration, les études préliminaires se sont poursuivies pour soutenir la mise en œuvre des programmes de suivi et des plans de gestion. Ces études préliminaires ne sont pas

considérées comme des programmes de suivi, mais ont été incluses dans le rapport par souci d'exhaustivité. Ces programmes de suivi sont examinés à la **section 6** du présent rapport.

L'**annexe A** décrit, pour chaque condition, la manière dont Cedar s'est conformée à l'énoncé de décision.



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	ii
Résumé	iii
Table of Contents	v
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Purpose	1
3.0 Project Update	2
4.0 Compliance with Decision Statement Conditions	3
5.0 Contributions to Sustainability	3
6.0 Integration of Gender Based Analysis Plus	4
7.0 Incorporation of Consultation	5
7.1 Indigenous Nations	5
7.2 Public	6
8.0 Follow-Up Programs and Plans	7
8.1 Marine Water Quality Follow-up Program	7
8.2 Wildlife Follow-Up Program	8
8.2.1 Verifying the Accuracy of the Impact Assessment	9
8.2.2 Determining the Effectiveness of Mitigation Measures	9
8.3 Acoustic Follow-Up Program	11
8.4 Wetlands Follow-up Program	12
8.4.1 Design and Mitigation Measures	12
8.4.2 Updated Wetland Areas	12
8.4.3 Wetland Functions	12
8.5 Chance Find Procedure	13
8.6 Community Feedback Process	13
8.6.1 Advertising	13
8.6.2 Feedback	14
8.6.3 Noise Complaints	14
8.7 Health and Medical Services Plan	14
8.8 Gender Equity and Diversity Plan	14
9.0 Incorporation or Exclusion of Dependent Conditions	14
10.0 Updates to Required Plans	15



11.0 Alterations to Mitigation Measures	15
12.0 Closure	15
Table of Concordance	16

1.0 Introduction

Cedar LNG Partners LP, by its general partner Cedar LNG Partners Ltd. (Cedar), a Haisla Nation-led partnership with Pembina Pipeline Corporation, is constructing the Cedar LNG Project (the Project), a liquefied natural gas (LNG) export facility on Haisla-owned land in the traditional territory of Haisla Nation.

The Project is subject to the requirements of the federal *Impact Assessment Act* and provincial *Environmental Assessment Act* and underwent a substituted environmental assessment from 2019 to 2023 under the British Columbia *Environmental Assessment Act* and federal *Impact Assessment Act*. Cedar received its Environmental Assessment Certificate (#E23-01) under the *Environmental Assessment Act* on March 13, 2023, and a positive Decision Statement under the *Impact Assessment Act* on March 15, 2023.

On October 25, 2024, Cedar submitted an application to the BC Environmental Assessment Office (EAO) and the Impact Assessment Agency of Canada (the Agency) to amend EAC #23-01 and the *Impact Assessment Act* Decision Statement for an alternate transmission line route, installation of a power distribution line, and an increase to the Marine Terminal Area. The Amendment was issued on May 30, 2025. In July of 2025, Cedar received Amendment 2 from IAAC, allowing the disposal of overburden, excess rock, topsoil and organic materials at specified locations long the pipeline route.

Construction within the Marine Terminal Area continued through the reporting year, including grubbing, installation of drainage and erosion control measures, minor grading and start of construction on a mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) wall. Construction on the marine terminal was paused for Winter in late 2025, with no non-compliances identified in the reporting year. The Decision Statement conditions, and a description of how these conditions continued to be addressed by Cedar in the reporting year are outlined in **Appendix A** of the report.

This report has been prepared to fulfill condition 2.10 of the Decision Statement, which requires Cedar to submit an annual report to Impact Assessment Agency of Canada (IAAC) outlining the status of compliance with the Decision Statement by March 31 following the reporting year. This report provides the status of Cedar's compliance for the reporting period of January 1, 2025, to December 31, 2025 (the reporting period) with the conditions of the Decision Statement. A separate report was submitted to the EAO on January 30, 2025, that reports on compliance with conditions of EAC #E23-01.

2.0 Purpose

Condition 2.10 of the Decision Statement requires Cedar to report on compliance annually, by March 31, for the previous calendar year. This report includes:

- A summary of activities undertaken by Cedar to comply with each of the conditions set out in the Decision Statement (condition 2.10.1).
- A description of how Cedar complied with Decision Statement condition 2.1 related to how the Project contributed to sustainability during the reporting period (condition 2.10.2.).
- A description of how Cedar integrated Gender Based Analysis Plus (GBA Plus) into meeting the conditions of the Decision Statement (condition 2.10.3).

- A summary of how Cedar considered and incorporated any views and information it received during the consultation process (condition 2.10.4.).
- A summary of how Cedar complied with Decision Statement conditions regarding follow-up program requirements (condition 2.10.5).
- A summary of available results of any follow-up programs (condition 2.10.6).
- A justification of the incorporation or exclusion of conditions dependent on technical or economic feasibility (condition 2.10.7).
- Updates made during the reporting period to any plans which are conditional in the Decision Statement (condition 2.10.8).
- An overview of any modifications or additions to mitigation measures implemented or proposed to be implemented by Cedar (condition 2.10.9).

3.0 Project Update

Since the EAO issued the EAC for the Project on March 13, 2023, Cedar has achieved significant milestones including taking a positive Final Investment Decision (FID) and initiating construction. Fundamental to Cedar's achievements is the ongoing commitment to Indigenous and community engagement and meeting the Project's regulatory requirements and conditions, as required by federal and provincial environmental assessment conditions. The following section summarizes notable achievements by Cedar in the reporting period.

Construction activities in the reporting year included:

- Continued grading of the Marine Terminal.
- Started construction of the MSE Wall at the Marine Terminal.
- Installation of permanent and temporary drainage ditches at the Marine Terminal.
- Installation of interim ESC measures at the Marine Terminal prior to onset of Winter.
- Development of the Soil Stockpile Area.
- Development of the South Anchor Block location (tree clearing, trail building and Geotechnical investigations).
- Initiation of clearing on transmission line right of way and associated temporary access roads (September – November 2025)

Mitigation measures outlined in required management plans were implemented during the reporting year for all active construction scopes, including but not limited to installation of exclusion measures for wildlife, pre-clearing and salvage activities, and monitoring measures.

Regulatory and Compliance-related Milestones

- Implementation of the community feedback process continued in the reporting year. This process is outlined on Cedar's website and includes means for the public to provide Project-related feedback or ask questions by telephone or email.

- Continued implementation of EAO approved and accepted management plans and related compliance reports.

Cedar LNG continues to engage with Haisla Nation, surrounding Nations, and members of the public regarding the Project. This includes proactively sharing project-related information through a variety of channels such as Cedar's website, newsletters, advertisements, and open houses. Further information on public engagement and information sharing can be found in Section 7.2

4.0 Compliance with Decision Statement Conditions

Cedar commenced construction on July 10, 2024, focussed on early works activities, and continued through 2025 with site development related to stormwater and runoff management and ground preparation. The following report sections outline details with respect to how the Decision Statement continued to be implemented in the reporting year.

- Compliance with conditions of the Decision Statement (Section 4.0).
- Ongoing efforts related to sustainable development (Section 5.0).
- Integration of Gender Based Analysis (GBA) Plus (Section 6.0).
- Consultation and engagement (Section 7.0).
- Development of Decision Statement required follow-up programs and plans (Section 10.0).
- Determinations about the technical and economic feasibility of dependent Decision Statement conditions (Section 9.0).

Pursuant to condition 2.10.1, a Table of Concordance is presented in **Appendix A**, which provides the summary of actions undertaken by Cedar in compliance with conditions of the Decision Statement. Cedar remained in compliance with Decision Statement Conditions over the reporting period. No non-compliances were identified.

5.0 Contributions to Sustainability

Schedule 2 (Reasons for Determination) of the Decision Statement, stated that the Cedar LNG Project is expected to make a moderate contribution to sustainability, taking into account the key issues of importance to Indigenous Nations and positive socio-economic effects, the Project's impact on the transition to more sustainable and desirable energy options in the future, and adverse socio-cultural effects in three areas. The conditions in the Decision Statement set out several requirements that are intended to strengthen positive effects and mitigate potential adverse effects of the Project and result in an enhancement of the Project's contributions to sustainability.

The FLNG facility design incorporates electric drive (powered by BC's hydroelectric grid) to minimize greenhouse gas emissions, reflecting the best available technology. As an Indigenous-led venture, with Haisla Nation as majority partner, the Project's direction aligns with Haisla priorities, job creation, and cultural integration. Additionally, site environmental management practices continue to be implemented under the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP), ensuring robust erosion control, wildlife

protection, and chance find procedures. Together, these measures support the Project's positive environmental and socioeconomic contributions.

For the 2025 reporting period, Cedar focused on continued implementation of the following plans which support Cedar's commitments to enhance the Project's contributions to sustainability:

- Chance Find Procedure (condition 6.1).
- Gender Equity and Diversity Plan (condition 8.9).
- Health and Medical Services Plan and related reporting (condition 8.14).
- Community Feedback Protocol and related reporting (condition 9.1).
- Accident and Malfunction Communication Plan (condition 12.8).

In addition, conditions of the Decision Statement that enhance the Project's contribution to sustainability were incorporated into the CEMP and Socioeconomic Management Plan (SEMP).

Cedar is also contributing to sustainability through its procurement practices with the implementation of its Indigenous procurement strategies over the reporting period. Both contractors hired by Cedar over the reporting period (Main Logging and Ledcor Haisla Limited Partnership) were Indigenous Nation partnerships.

6.0 Integration of Gender Based Analysis Plus

Condition 2.10.3 of the Decision Statement requires Cedar to report on the integration of GBA Plus for the reporting period. A follow-up GBA Plus program was developed in alignment with *Guidance: Gender-based Analysis Plus in Impact Assessment*. The follow-up GBA Plus program reports on the new disaggregated labour force data from Statistics Canada's 2021 census survey regarding identity factors such as gender, Indigenous peoples, LGBTQ2+¹, (dis)abled people, and/or immigrants for Kitimat and the region. It is provided to establish the basis for the follow-up program for implementation of the Gender Equity and Diversity Plan.

In support of Project construction, Cedar developed a Gender Equity and Diversity Plan that operationalizes Cedar's approach to meeting the federal Decision Statement requirements regarding equity and diversity in the workforce. Examples of tactics that Cedar is implementing include:

- Mandatory cultural awareness training.
- Following a gender and Indigenous inclusive recruitment approach.
- Implementing a Code of Ethics, Drug & Alcohol Policy, Indigenous Relations Policy, and Respectful Workplace Policy (which includes violence and harassment).

¹ The acronym incorporates individuals that identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transexual, queer or questioning, Two-Spirit, as well as represents those who are part of the community, but for whom LGBTQ does not accurately capture or reflect their identify.

- Making a senior official available to address workplace situations in relation to gender equity and diversity, including harassment, racism, sexism, and violence, when needed.
- Providing confidential Whistleblower communication opportunities.

In addition, the Health and Medical Services Plan (HMSP), approved by BC EAO in June 2024, includes consideration for women's health and culturally safe care for Indigenous workers. The SEMP addresses workforce accommodation, safety, respect for diversity, and an anti-harassment policy, further supporting underrepresented populations.

7.0 Incorporation of Consultation

As the world's first Indigenous majority-owned LNG facility, Cedar is committed to providing opportunities for Haisla Nation, neighbouring Indigenous Nations and the region. Over the reporting period, Cedar actively engaged with Indigenous Nations, local communities, and stakeholders on various topics, permit and management plan content.

7.1 Indigenous Nations

Pursuant to condition 2.10.4. of the Decision Statement, Cedar proactively engaged the following Indigenous Nations throughout the reporting period:

- Haisla Nation.
- Gitga'at First Nation.
- Gitxaala Nation.
- Kitselas First Nation.
- Kitsumkalum First Nation.
- Lax Kw'alaams Band.
- Metlakatla First Nation.
- Haida Nation.
- Métis Nation British Columbia.

In addition to providing Project updates and consulting regarding the amendment application, Cedar consulted Indigenous Nations on the draft follow-up programs and management plans. Cedar considered and integrated relevant and practicable feedback from Indigenous Nations into the follow-up programs and management plans. Where feedback was not incorporated, Cedar provided the rationale to the reviewer along with the final copy of the follow-up program or plan.

To facilitate Indigenous Nations reviews over the review period, Cedar provided advance notice and allowed for the review of draft documents. Cedar requested feedback and followed up, offering meetings and further discussions when requested. Several Indigenous groups provided comments on the draft management plans and follow-up programs. Consultation records are available upon request.

Since the start of construction, Haisla Nation, Gitga'at First Nation, Gitxaala Nation, Kitselas First Nation, Kitsumkalum First Nation, Lax Kw'alaams Band, and Metlakatla First Nation have been receiving monitoring

reports from the Independent Environmental Monitor that provide updates regarding the Project's compliance with the Decision Statement and EAC #23-01.

7.2 Public

Cedar continues to share regular information through multi-channel methods—including print advertising, social media, and the Project website—related to construction progress, community notifications, and general updates. The Community Feedback Process continues to be implemented and promoted, inviting the public to share feedback through a variety of methods. Additional information regarding the Community Feedback Process is provided in Section 8.6.

The below list details the way Cedar engaged with the public during the reporting period:

- Continued implementation of EAO approved and accepted management plans and related compliance reports.
- Cedar engaged with Indigenous Nations for review of draft management plans, amendments to Cedar's original EAC and federal Decision Statement, employment and training programs, and ongoing project developments.
- In February 2025, Cedar engaged Haisla Elders, knowledge holders and the Culture and Language Department to identify possible names for the Cedar floating LNG vessel. A shortlist of names was presented to Haisla members in March 2025 to determine a preferred name. megúgu, which means 'Spirit of the Cedar Tree' in xa'isla language, was selected.
- In March 2025, Cedar hosted three community dinners with the Haisla community in Haisla Village, Kitimat, and Vancouver to celebrate the Project's positive Final Investment Decision in June 2024 and to share project information, including project updates related to construction and hiring and training programs.
- On May 27, 2025, the Cedar team took part in Haisla Nation's Job Fair in Haisla Village, hosting a table to share information about job and training opportunities during construction and operations.
- On May 28, 2025, Cedar hosted a "Community Connect" event in Kitimat, B.C. to share Project-related information with the community, including construction updates and employment opportunities.
- On July 13, 2025, the Cedar team attended the Haisla Days celebration in Vancouver and hosted a table to share information about job and training opportunities during construction and operations.
- On September 12, 2025, the Cedar team took part in Haisla Nation's Job Fair in Burnaby, hosting a table to share information about job and training opportunities during construction and operations.
- In September 2025, Cedar participated in the Kitimat Airshed Group Open House, held in Kitimat BC, sharing information via newsletter and display boards.
- In October 2025, Cedar launched a community survey to gather feedback from Haisla members on how communication between the team and the Nation could be improved.
- On October 20, 2025, Cedar gave a project update to District of Kitimat Council, including information on timelines and the operations phase amendments.

- Cedar hosted a luncheon with Haisla Nation Elders on November 12, 2025, to share an update on the Project, including schedule, proposed amendments, employment and training opportunities, and more. That same day, Cedar also hosted an open house for the wider Haisla community to share further Project-related information and updates.
- On November 13, 2025, Cedar hosted an open house at the Tamitik Arena in Kitimat, sharing information about the Project schedule, proposed amendments, and employment and training opportunities. More than 100 members of the public attended the event.

Additional information regarding public consultation for the reporting year is available in the annual Consultation Report, available on Cedar LNG's website.

8.0 Follow-Up Programs and Plans

Conditions 2.10.5. and 2.10.6. of the Decision Statement require Cedar to summarize all follow-up programs completed within the reporting period to verify the accuracy of the impact assessment and the effectiveness of mitigation measures, as well as to determine if the Project is achieving the expected outcomes. Section 2 of the *Impact Assessment Act* defines a follow-up program as “a program for verifying the accuracy of the impact assessment of a designated project and determining the effectiveness of any mitigation measures.”

The sections below summarize the status of the follow-up programs as well as the available results. Data collection is ongoing, and these sections will be expanded upon in subsequent annual reports as more data becomes available.

No Project-related marine shipping took place over the reporting period. Accordingly, marine shipping-related follow-up under Conditions 3.12 through 3.15 has not yet begun. Cedar also did not construct the small craft jetty (Condition 3.11) over the reporting period, so those follow-up requirements were not triggered. Similarly, Cedar's greenhouse gas emissions follow-up program (Condition 5.7) is required in a future phase and has not been initiated.

Overall, no additional or modified mitigation measures were required over the reporting period.

8.1 Marine Water Quality Follow-up Program

The Marine Water Quality Follow-up Program (Document No. PC21258A-EV-MEM-00005), provided to the Agency in July of 2024, addresses the requirements of Decision Statement condition 3.10.

Condition 3.10.1 of the Decision Statement requires that Cedar:

“sample, prior to the start of operation, concentrations of metals, anions, nutrients and hydrocarbons identified during the development of the follow-up program, at both ebbing tides and flooding tides and during summer and winter. The Proponent shall conduct each sampling at locations immediately adjacent to planned outfalls, mid-field locations, far-field locations and reference locations not expected to be impacted by the Designated Project, and at the following depths: • one metre below surface; • approximately 12 metres below the surface; and • one metre above bottom sediments.”

Condition 3.10.2 requires that Cedar:

undertake in situ depth profile measurements of temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation reduction potential, pH, specific conductivity and turbidity when conducting the sampling activities referred to in condition 3.10.1.” Baseline marine water quality monitoring as required by the Condition was completed in 2024. Water quality samples were collected at six sites, including a reference site, the marine outfall, three mid-field sites, and a far-field site. Parameters recorded from water quality samples included conventional parameters (total alkalinity, hardness, total suspended solids), anions and nutrients, microbiological samples, total and dissolved metals, aggregate organics, volatile organic compounds, hydrocarbons, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *In-situ* water column profiles were also collected at the same locations as the water quality samples and included temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation reduction potential, pH, specific conductivity, and turbidity.

Results of the baseline marine quality program are summarized below from the Cedar Water Quality Report (Stantec 2024), which is available upon request. Details of these results were also included in the 2024 Cedar LNG IAAC Annual Report.

The Marine Water Quality Follow-up Program is intended to monitor effects during the operation phase. The next data collection will occur during that phase.

Condition 3.10.3 requires that Cedar:

“monitor, at least annually during the first five years of operation, water quality in a manner comparable to the sampling and measurement requirements set out in conditions 3.10.1 and 3.10.2, except for the sampling depth referred to in condition 3.10.1.2, which shall be mid-plume.”

This follow-up program will be implemented during the first five years of operation in a manner in accordance with the Condition. No further reporting on this program will take place until Cedar LNG commences operations.

Condition 3.10.4 of the Decision Statement requires that Cedar:

“develop and implement modified or additional mitigation measures if the results of the monitoring referred to in condition 3.10.3 demonstrate that modified or additional mitigation measures are required in accordance with condition 2.8 to mitigate adverse federal effects on fish and fish habitat from changes to marine water quality. The Proponent shall compare the results of the monitoring referred to in condition 3.10.3 with the information collected in accordance with conditions 3.10.1 and 3.10.2 and with the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment’s Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life and the British Columbia’s Water Quality Guidelines and Working Sediment Quality Guidelines to determine whether modified or additional mitigation measures are required.”

This follow-up program will be implemented during the first five years of operation in a manner in accordance with the Condition. No further reporting on this program will take place until Cedar LNG commences operations.

8.2 Wildlife Follow-Up Program

The Wildlife Follow-up Program (Document No. PC21258A-EV-MEM-00002), provided to the Agency in July of 2024, addresses the requirements of Decision Statement conditions 4.5, 10.9 and 10.11.

8.2.1 Verifying the Accuracy of the Impact Assessment

In the Wildlife Follow-up Program, Cedar commits to assessing the final change in habitat availability within 12 months of completion of construction to determine whether as-built change in habitat availability differs from the predicted change in habitat availability reported in the Application. Specifically, Cedar will compare the as-built change in habitat to the effects predicted in the Application for the following species and species groups:

- Marbled murrelet (nesting habitat).
- Old-forest songbird community (breeding habitat).
- Young-forest songbird community (breeding habitat).
- Western toad (breeding habitat, overwintering habitat).
- Coastal tailed frog (year-round habitat).
- Little brown myotis (roosting habitat).

This comparison will be provided in the appropriate Annual Report at that time.

8.2.2 Determining the Effectiveness of Mitigation Measures

The following section outlines how mitigation measures are assessed throughout construction in accordance with the Cedar LNG IAAC Decision Statement and the Wildlife Follow-up Program (Document No. PC21258A-EV-MEM-00002).

8.2.2.1 MIGRATORY BIRDS AND THEIR HABITAT

As noted in Section 3.0, Cedar received a Decision Statement Amendment in May of 2025. This amendment allowed for the relocation of the transmission line from the original Transmission Line Corridor between the Minette BC Hydro Substation and the Marine Terminal Area, downslope toward Douglas Channel. The alternate transmission line route avoids areas identified as having moderate or high habitat suitability for marbled murrelet nesting. As a result of this Amendment, Cedar will not be undertaking vegetation clearing within areas that could support biophysical attributes of nesting critical habitat for marbled murrelet.

All tree clearing activities to support Cedar LNG is scheduled to avoid prime early nesting periods to the extent feasible. Related to follow-up program targets for assessing mitigation effectiveness:

- Vegetation clearing in the Marine Terminal Area was completed in 2024. Pre-construction Migratory bird nest surveys were conducted in June 2025 and again in July 2025 to confirm the absence of any ground nesting birds prior to construction mobilization.
- A single (1) sandpiper nest was discovered within the Marine Terminal Footprint. A protective 'no-work' buffer was applied to the nest location.
- No work occurred within the buffer until a biologist confirmed that the hatchling had fledged and left the nest.
- For clearing during the primary nesting period, 0 hectares were cleared without a preclearing bird nest surveys completed in accordance with the CEMP under the guidance of a Qualified Professional.
- Transmission line clearing occurred outside of the primary nesting period (September to November 2025).

Based on the information above, mitigation measures were implemented sufficiently and as such no additional adaptive management was implemented in the reporting year.

In addition, during construction, data regarding bird mortalities, strandings, and injuries were collected incidentally as well as through the Environmental Inspector systematically monitoring the Marine Terminal Area and Transmission Line alignment for compliance with the CEMP. This information is used to confirm the Application's prediction of the magnitude of risk to migratory birds (defined qualitatively in the Application) from collisions with buildings, the transmission line, or Project-associated vessels. No Project-related injuries to migratory birds were identified over the reporting period. The Application for an Environmental Assessment Certificate predicted a moderate magnitude adverse effect to wildlife associated with a change in mortality risk. Accordingly, the results of the monitoring are within the range predictions in the Application.

8.2.2.2 WESTERN TOAD AND COASTAL TAILED FROG

Condition 10.9.1 requires development of a follow-up program, with input from ECCC and the Haisla Nation, for monitoring of:

- Changes in habitat for western toad and coastal tailed frog
- Western toad and coastal tailed frog use of relocation (salvage) sites and of restored, enhanced, or created wetlands.

As outlined in Section 8.2.1 of this report, verification regarding changes in habitat, and subsequent Follow-Up Program reporting, will take place post-construction.

Over the reporting period, amphibians were salvaged from the Marine Terminal Footprint prior to and during the 2025 construction season. Amphibian salvage activities were conducted in accordance with a provincial amphibian collection permit, the Interim Hygiene Protocols for Amphibian Field Staff and Researchers (BC MOE 2008), and the Best Management Practices for Amphibian and Reptile Salvages in British Columbia (MFLNRO 2016). Multiple salvage methods were employed, including minnow trapping, dip netting, and visual encounter surveys.

On the Marine Terminal footprint, a total of 17 adult amphibians, including 15 western toads and zero (0) Coastal Tailed Frogs, were salvaged between June and August 2025. Amphibians were released at two locations listed under the provincial amphibian collection permit. Release locations were determined based on the criteria listed in the Best Management Practices for Amphibian and Reptile Salvages in British Columbia (MFLNRO 2016). On the Marine Terminal, work undertaken used exclusion measures to avoid coastal tailed frog habitat.

During transmission line clearing activities, wildlife biologists were present when working in proximity to amphibian habitat. No amphibians were encountered during sweeps of the habitat.

8.2.2.3 LITTLE BROWN MYOTIS

Condition 10.11 requires that a follow-up plan be developed for little brown myotis, focussed on monitoring for effectiveness of mitigations. In accordance with the Follow-Up Program, Cedar will:

- report the number of days that clearing occurred within potential bat roosting habitat (structural stage 6 and 7 forests) from May 15 to September 30
- confirm whether active bat roost features were removed or disturbed during the sensitive periods.

- confirm and document that an appropriate setback around potential roost features is clearly delineated and maintained for the duration of the sensitive period.
- confirm and document that no clearing, blasting, or road construction occurred within roost feature setbacks during the sensitive periods unless a survey, supervised by a QP experienced with bat surveys, is completed to confirm that the site is not active (Section 2.2.3.2).
- confirm and document that no clearing, blasting, or road construction occurred within setbacks around potential hibernacula unless a survey, supervised by a QP experienced with bat surveys, is completed during the swarming period and no evidence of bat hibernation is found.

For the Marine Terminal, pre-clearing bat roost inspections and, where determined to be necessary by a Qualified Professional, acoustic surveys were completed in 2024.

To date, no active hibernacula have been found on or within close proximity of the Marine Terminal footprint. Two potential bat roosts in proximity to the Marine Terminal were identified in late 2024. Subsequently, exclusion measures were installed in both in April 2025 (prior to the sensitive period) on two (2) potential bat roosts within proximity of the Marine Terminal footprint. The measures were installed prior to the May 15th start of the sensitive timing period associated with maternity roost habitats. No further monitoring of these features was carried out following installation of exclusion measures.

No bat hibernacula were identified within proximity to the transmission line corridor. Two potential maternity roosts were identified during surveys in late 2024 and summer of 2025 but these were inactive and all clearing took place outside of the bat roosting timing window in October 2025.

8.3 Acoustic Follow-Up Program

The Acoustic Follow-up Program (Document No. PC21258A-EV-MEM-00003), provided to the Agency in July of 2024, addresses the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 8.2.

Condition 8.2.1 of the Decision Statement requires that Cedar:

“monitor, during the year prior to construction and continuing through the first three years of operation (for three to five days each year during summer, when weather conditions do not interfere with sound monitoring), sound levels at the sensitive noise receptors identified during the development of the follow-up program;”

Cedar identified three sensitive noise receptors where monitoring will occur. Two receptors are in Kitamaat Village (i.e., R1 and R3) and one receptor is near Half Moon Bay (i.e., R3). R1, R2 and R3 are located along the coast at southeast, south and east of the Project respectively.

Baseline information collected in previous years indicates that the acoustic environment at all three locations is characterized by nature sound such as bird calls, leaves rustling, coastal tidal waves, whale calling (i.e., Half Moon Bay), human activity, local or logging traffic, and distant activities (e.g., marine vessel, train, jet).

Sampling during a peak year of construction will be undertaken in 2026. The results from that data collection will be compared to the pre-construction values and included as part of that year’s annual report.

Cedar LNG continues to collect information related to noise complaints in accordance with Condition 2.10 of the Decision Statement. Additional information is provided in Section 8.6.3 of this report.

8.4 Wetlands Follow-up Program

The Wetlands Follow-up Program (Document No. PC21258A-EV-MEM-00004), provided to the Agency in July of 2024, addresses the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 10.12.

Condition 10.12.1. requires that the follow-up program:

- validate the areal extent of wetlands (including by size, wetland function(s) and distribution in the landscape) that may be directly or indirectly adversely affected by the Designated Project, taking into account the final design of the Designated Project;
- determine wetland compensation for residual adverse federal effects on wetlands
- monitor, annually during construction, the integrity (including their wetland function(s)) of the residual wetlands that are directly or indirectly affected by the Designated Project (including residual wetlands left for natural revegetation);
- monitor the integrity (including the wetland function(s)) of any restored, enhanced or created wetland that the Proponent is implementing

8.4.1 Design and Mitigation Measures

In its EAC Application (Cedar 2022), Cedar committed to implementing design and construction mitigation measures together reduce potential effects of construction on wetlands. As noted in Section 3.0, an Amendment to the Cedar LNG IAAC Decision Statement was received in May of 2025. This amendment application allows the relocation of the transmission line from the original Transmission Line Corridor between the Minette BC Hydro Substation and the Marine Terminal Area, downslope toward Douglas Channel. Shifting the transmission line downslope will further reduce effects to wildlife and wetlands.

8.4.2 Updated Wetland Areas

As part of the Wetlands Follow-up Program, Cedar determined the areal extent of the wetlands directly and indirectly affected by the Project using the most up to date design available at that time. The terrestrial ecosystem mapping prepared for the environmental assessment was updated by using LiDAR to refine the wetland boundaries. The updated mapping was overlaid on the Project features (powerline right-of-way and access roads, dated October 2023 as submitted to the BC Energy Regulator) to determine the area of wetland that may be affected.

The EAC Application (Cedar 2022) predicted a total wetland area disturbance of 0.6 ha, including 0.5 ha in the transmission line access road, <0.1 ha in the marine terminal footprint and <0.1 ha in the transmission line right-of-way. Original mapping predicted a total wetland area disturbance from the Project of less than 0.1 ha, including <0.1 ha in the transmission line access road and <0.1 ha in the marine terminal footprint. In addition to the area of direct wetland disturbance, 5.8 ha of wetlands may be indirectly affected. The Decision Statement amendment, relocating the transmission line farther downslope, reduces effects to wetlands.

8.4.3 Wetland Functions

Clearing of the Marine Terminal Area occurred prior to the reporting period. Consistent with the Wetlands Follow-up Program less than 0.1 ha of swamp in the marine terminal footprint was removed, and associated

functions lost. Wetland compensation under the Federal Policy on Wetland Conservation is not required and no follow up monitoring is required.

8.5 Chance Find Procedure

Over the reporting period, Cedar continued to implement the Chance Find Procedure for heritage sites to address Condition 6.1. The Chance Find Procedure is provided in Appendix B of the CEMP. The CEMP, including the Chance Find Procedure, was developed in consultation with Haisla Nation.

Prior to clearing activities related to the transmission line corridor, the chance find protocol was initiated for seven potential Culturally Modified Trees (CMTs). Qualified Archaeologists inspected these potential CMTs and confirmed they were not culturally modified and no further action was required.

8.6 Community Feedback Process

Over the reporting period, Cedar continued to implement the Community Feedback Process (Document No. PC21258-RG-PRO-00001) to address the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 9.1. Cedar consulted with Indigenous Nations during development of the Community Feedback Process. Records of consultation are available upon request.

The following communication methods for sharing information about the Project with the public and enabling the public to submit comments or concerns are established:

- Dedicated Project website: www.cedarlng.com.
- Telephone line: 250-789-4901.
- Email address: feedback@cedarlng.com.
- An online form for submission of feedback (<https://www.cedarlng.com/community/community-feedback-program/>).
 - Members of the public providing feedback may choose to do so anonymously, or if they prefer a response, may submit through the general [contact page](#) on the website.

These means of communication are regularly monitored, and Cedar responds within seven days.

Cedar continues to promote the Community Feedback Process on an ongoing basis through established communications and engagement methods (e.g., print materials, newsletters, advertising, open houses, etc.). No payment, credentials, registration, or tracking is required for members of the public to use these methods.

8.6.1 Advertising

Cedar continues to promote the Community Feedback Process on an ongoing basis through established communications and engagement methods (e.g., print materials, newsletters, advertising, open houses, etc.).

Additionally, Cedar has published advertisements in local media about the process along with how to learn more about the Project and share feedback. During the reporting period, Cedar placed the following advertisements using a mix of print and digital media:

- January 30, 2025.
- February 13, 2025.
- May 22, 2025.
- November 3-9, 2025.
- November 6, 2025.

Generally, Cedar posts these same advertisements on Facebook and on its website.

8.6.2 Feedback

Over the period covered by this report, there were no community concerns submitted via the Community Feedback Process. Use of the communication tools has primarily been people seeking employment or contracting opportunities.

8.6.3 Noise Complaints

Over the reporting period, there were no noise related concerns submitted via the Community Feedback Process.

8.7 Health and Medical Services Plan

Cedar continues to implement the Health and Medical Services Plan (HMSP) (Document No. PC21258-SA-PLN-00004) to address the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 8.14.

Cedar has an onsite primary care clinic staffed by certified medical personnel to treat non-urgent conditions. One recordable restricted work incident occurred in the reporting year.

8.8 Gender Equity and Diversity Plan

Measures to support workers are being implemented in accordance with the Gender Equity and Diversity Plan.

Voluntary data collection regarding identity factors is ongoing. To the extent that doing so will not result in privacy concerns, this data collection is being coordinated with the data collection required to support the SEMP and will be presented in SEMP annual report as well as the 2025 Annual Report.

Both contractors hired by Cedar over the reporting period (Main Logging and Dent Construction) were Indigenous Nation partnerships.

9.0 Incorporation or Exclusion of Dependent Conditions

Pursuant to condition 2.10.7 of the Decision Statement, Cedar must provide a justification for the inclusion or exclusion of conditions to be implemented based on economic and technical feasibility as outlined in the

Decision Statement. As of the timing of this report, technical and/or economic factors do not affect implementation of any conditions.

10.0 Updates to Required Plans

Condition 2.10.8 requires Cedar to provide a summary of changes made during the reporting period to any plan that is a requirement of the Decision Statement. No plan changes were proposed or made to an approved plan in 2025.

11.0 Alterations to Mitigation Measures

Condition 2.10.9 requires Cedar to report “any modified or additional mitigation measure implemented or proposed to be implemented...pursuant to condition 2.8” (follow-up program). For the 2025 reporting period, no mitigation measures have been altered or additional measures implemented as a result of follow-up program findings.

12.0 Closure

This Compliance Report has been prepared to fulfill Cedar's annual reporting obligations under conditions 2.10, 2.11, and 2.12 of the Decision Statement for the period of January 1, 2025, to December 31, 2025. No non-compliances were identified over the reporting period.

Appendix A

Table of Concordance

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
2. General Conditions					
General	2.1	The Proponent shall ensure that its actions in meeting the conditions set out in this Decision Statement during all phases of the Designated Project are considered in a careful and precautionary manner, contribute to sustainability, are informed by the best information and knowledge available at the time the Proponent takes action, including policies, guidelines and directives and community and Indigenous knowledge, are based on methods and models that are recognized by standard-setting bodies, are undertaken by qualified individuals, and have applied the best available economically and technically feasible technologies.	All Phases	Compliant	This Table of Concordance outlines Cedar's compliance with its Decision Statement conditions over the period of January 1, 2025, to December 31, 2025 (the reporting period).
General	2.2	The Proponent shall ensure that its actions in meeting the conditions set out in this Decision Statement are taken in a way that is consistent with any applicable recovery strategy and action plans for listed species at risk.	All Phases	Compliant	In 2024, plans such as the Wildlife Follow-up Plan and Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP), and specifically the wildlife management provisions therein, integrated relevant listed-species recovery strategies and action plans. Where listed species at risk (e.g., little brown myotis) may be present, site surveys and project activities were designed to align with recovery strategies.
Consultation	2.3	The Proponent shall, where consultation is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement:	All Phases	Compliant	Where required by conditions, Cedar has consulted with the parties listed in the Decision Statement. Records of engagement are available on request.
Consultation	2.3.1	provide a written notice of the opportunity for the parties being consulted to present their views and information on the subject matter of the consultation;	All Phases	Compliant	Cedar provided draft copies of the follow-up programs, and other conditions requiring consultation, to parties specified in the Decision Statement. As part of providing these documents, Cedar requested comments on the documents.
Consultation	2.3.2	provide all information available and relevant to the scope and the subject matter of the consultation and a reasonable period of time not less than 30 days, or as otherwise agreed upon with the parties being consulted, to prepare their views and information;	All Phases	Compliant	Cedar provided reviewers with a minimum of 30 days to review and provide feedback on draft documents. Whenever the Project schedule allowed, Cedar provided additional review time.
Consultation	2.3.3	undertake an impartial consideration of all views and information presented by the parties being consulted on the subject matter of the consultation; and	All Phases	Compliant	Cedar's consultation followed an impartial approach where all feedback, comments, and questions received have been considered by Cedar and provided with a timely response.
Consultation	2.3.4	advise as soon as feasible in writing the parties being consulted on how the views and information received have, or have not, been integrated into the subject matter of the consultation by the Proponent and provide a justification.	All Phases	Compliant	Cedar documented and considered reviewer feedback. Following consideration, Cedar provided written explanations regarding how the feedback was incorporated or why it wasn't incorporated. These explanations were provided to reviewers along with the final version of the follow-up program.
Consultation	2.4	The Proponent shall, where consultation with Indigenous groups is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement, communicate with each Indigenous group with respect to the manner to satisfy the consultation requirements referred to in condition 2.3, including:	All Phases	Compliant	Throughout the environmental assessment process, Cedar has worked with Indigenous groups to understand how they would like to be consulted and has adapted the engagement approach based on feedback provided by Indigenous groups. In response to feedback from Indigenous groups, Cedar provided advance notice regarding upcoming reviews. When multiple reviews were ongoing, Cedar provided a table of all outstanding reviews along with the requested date for comments. Cedar also provided more than the minimum 30-day review period whenever possible. In addition, Cedar offers to meet with Indigenous groups regarding follow-up programs if that is the Nation's preferred method of understanding the program or providing feedback.
Consultation	2.4.1	methods of notification;	All Phases	Compliant	See compliance details for Condition 2.4.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Consultation	2.4.2	the type of information and the period of time to be provided when seeking input;	All Phases	Compliant	See compliance details for Condition 2.4.
Consultation	2.4.3	the process to be used by the Proponent to undertake impartial consideration of all views and information presented on the subject of the consultation; and	All Phases	Compliant	See compliance details for Condition 2.4.
Consultation	2.4.4	the period of time and the means to advise Indigenous groups of how their views and information were considered by the Proponent.	All Phases	Compliant	See compliance details for Condition 2.4.
Follow-up Requirements	2.5	The Proponent shall, where a follow-up program is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement, determine, as part of the development of each follow-up program and in consultation with the parties being consulted during the development, the following information, unless already specified in the condition:	All Phases	Compliant	Several follow-up programs (e.g., for air quality, acoustic environment, wildlife) were developed in 2024 in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities. Implementation is ongoing during construction or will begin when the relevant Project activities (e.g., in-water works) commence. Details on follow-up programs are provided throughout the 2025 IAAC Annual Report. No additional mitigations were required based on results from follow-up programs within the reporting year.
Follow-up Requirements	2.5.1	the expected describable or measurable outcomes of the Designated Project in terms of desired environmental, health, social and economic conditions that the follow-up program is designed to monitor and the targets that the Proponent shall use to assess the achievement of the expected outcomes;	All Phases	Compliant	Follow-up programs developed in 2024 clearly defined expected measurable outcomes and targets relating to environmental, health, social, and economic conditions.
Follow-up Requirements	2.5.2	the methodology, location, frequency, timing and duration of monitoring associated with the follow-up program;	All Phases	Compliant	Follow-up programs developed in 2024 clearly established methods, locations, frequencies, timing, and duration of monitoring.
Follow-up Requirements	2.5.3	the scope, content and frequency of reporting of the results of the follow-up program to the parties consulted for the development of the follow-up program;	All Phases	Compliant	Follow-up programs developed in 2024 specified the scope, content, and reporting frequency to the reviewers.
Follow-up Requirements	2.5.4	the minimum frequency at which the follow-up program must be reviewed and, if necessary, updated;	All Phases	Compliant	Follow-up programs developed in 2024 include established timelines for regular review and updates, as necessary.
Follow-up Requirements	2.5.5	the levels of environmental, health, social or economic changes relative to baseline that would require the Proponent to implement modified or additional mitigation measures, including instances where the Proponent may require Designated Project activities causing the change to be stopped; and	All Phases	Compliant	Follow-up programs developed in 2024 set clear thresholds to determine when additional mitigation actions or project activity adjustments would be required, based on monitoring results.
Follow-up Requirements	2.5.6	the technically and economically feasible mitigation measures to be implemented by the Proponent if monitoring conducted as part of the follow-up program shows that the levels of change referred to in condition 2.5.5 have been reached or exceeded in order to return changes to levels below those referred to in condition 2.5.5.	All Phases	Compliant	Follow-up programs developed in 2024 clearly defined contingency mitigation measures that are technically and economically feasible, to address changes exceeding established thresholds.
Follow-up Requirements	2.6	The Proponent shall update the information determined for each follow-up program pursuant to condition 2.5 during the implementation of each follow-up program, at the minimum frequency determined pursuant to condition 2.5.4 and in consultation with the party or parties being consulted during the development of each follow-up program.	All Phases	Compliant	Information in follow-up programs will be regularly updated, in consultation with relevant parties, according to established review schedules.
Follow-up Requirements	2.7	The Proponent shall provide the details of the follow-up programs referred to in conditions 3.10, 3.11, 3.15, 4.5, 5.7, 7.7, 7.8, 8.2, 8.5, 8.10, 8.15, 10.9, 10.11 and 10.12, including the information determined for each follow-up program pursuant to condition 2.5, to the Agency and to the party or parties being consulted during the development of each follow-up program prior to the implementation of each follow-up program. The Proponent shall also provide any update made pursuant to condition 2.6 to the Agency and to the parties being consulted during the development of each follow-up program within 30 days of the follow-up program being updated.	All Phases	Compliant	Follow-up programs were provided to IAAC and reviewers in accordance with the Decision Statement prior to program implementation. No updates were proposed in 2025.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Follow-up Requirements	2.8	The Proponent shall, where a follow-up program is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement:	All Phases	Compliant	Follow-up programs were established in 2024 as required based on construction activities. Implementation is ongoing during construction or will begin when the relevant Project activities (e.g., in-water works) commence. Details on the status of follow-up programs for the reporting year is provided throughout the 2025 IAAC Annual Report. Additional mitigation was not required in 2025, so no additional measures were required beyond what was already in the approved plans.
Follow-up Requirements	2.8.1	implement the follow-up program according to the information determined pursuant to condition 2.5;	All Phases	Compliant	Implementation of follow-up programs adhered to methodologies established development of those programs.
Follow-up Requirements	2.8.2	conduct monitoring and analysis to verify the accuracy of the impact assessment as it pertains to the particular condition and/or to determine the effectiveness of any mitigation measure;	All Phases	Compliant	Monitoring and analysis are ongoing in accordance with the follow-up programs.
Follow-up Requirements	2.8.3	determine whether modified or additional mitigation measure(s) are required based on the monitoring and analysis undertaken pursuant to condition 2.8.2; and	All Phases	Compliant	Monitoring is ongoing in accordance with the follow-up programs. Monitoring results over the reporting period do not indicate the need for modified or additional mitigation measures.
Follow-up Requirements	2.8.4	if modified or additional mitigation measure(s) are required pursuant to condition 2.8.3, develop and implement these mitigation measure(s) as soon as feasible and monitor them pursuant to condition 2.8.2. The Proponent shall notify the Agency within 24 hours of any modified or additional mitigation measure being implemented. If the Proponent implements any additional or modified mitigation measure not previously submitted to the Agency pursuant to condition 2.7, the Proponent shall submit a detailed description of the measure(s) to the Agency within 7 days of their implementation; and	All Phases	Compliant	No modified or additional mitigation measures were required or implemented during the reporting period.
Follow-up Requirements	2.8.5	report all results of the follow-up program to the Agency no later than March 31 following each reporting year during which the follow-up program is implemented and, subject to information determined pursuant to 2.5.3, to the parties being consulted during the development of the follow-up program. When reporting the results of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall provide the analysis undertaken by the Proponent to verify whether the impact assessment was accurate and determine whether the mitigation measures are effective based on the results obtained, and to evaluate if the Designated Project is achieving the expected outcomes referred to in condition 2.5.1, including a description of any factor that may have affected the achievement of the expected outcomes.	All Phases	Compliant	Implementation of the follow-up programs commenced after March 31, 2024. Accordingly, there was no reporting on follow-up requirements during the reporting period.
Follow-up Requirements	2.9	Where consultation with Indigenous groups is a requirement of a follow-up program, the Proponent shall discuss the follow-up program with each group and shall determine, in consultation with each group, opportunities for their participation in the implementation of the follow-up program, including the conduct of monitoring, the analysis and reporting of follow-up results and whether modified or additional mitigation measure(s) are required, as set out in condition 2.8.	All Phases	Compliant	Consultation with Indigenous groups included discussion and identification of opportunities for participation in monitoring and analysis of follow-up programs.
Annual Reporting	2.10	The Proponent shall prepare an annual report for each reporting year that sets out:	All Phases	Compliant	This document constitutes the 2025 annual report, covering the January 1 to December 31, 2025, reporting period. Cedar has included updates on compliance with relevant and applicable conditions.
Annual Reporting	2.10.1	the activities undertaken by the Proponent to comply with each of the conditions set out in this Decision Statement;	All Phases	Compliant	Activities undertaken for condition compliance during the reporting period have been documented within this annual report.
Annual Reporting	2.10.2	how the Proponent complied with condition 2.1, including:	All Phases	Compliant	This annual report includes details demonstrating compliance with Condition 2.1, focusing on sustainability and precautionary actions.
Annual Reporting	2.10.2.1	how the Designated Project is contributing to sustainability, taking into account the Agency's Guidance: Considering the Extent to which a Project Contributes to Sustainability;	All Phases	Compliant	The Project contributes to sustainability through implementation of best practices, community engagement, Indigenous knowledge integration, and precautionary methodologies, consistent with Agency guidance.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Annual Reporting	2.10.3	how the Proponent integrated Gender Based Analysis Plus into its actions in meeting the conditions set out in this Decision Statement and in carrying out the Designated Project, taking into account the Agency's Guidance: Gender-based Analysis Plus in Impact Assessment;	All Phases	Compliant	GBA+ is integrated into the Socioeconomic Management Plan.
Annual Reporting	2.10.4	for conditions set out in this Decision Statement for which consultation is a requirement, how the Proponent considered any views and information that the Proponent received during or as a result of the consultation, including:	All Phases	Compliant	Consultation views have been thoroughly reviewed, documented, and integrated into project decisions, with clear rationale provided.
Annual Reporting	2.10.4.1	for conditions set out in this Decision Statement for which consultation with Indigenous groups is a requirement for the development of a follow-up program, whether the Proponent has received any Indigenous knowledge as part of that consultation, and how the Proponent incorporated any such knowledge into the development of the follow-up program;	All Phases	Compliant	Indigenous knowledge received through consultation was integrated into follow-up programs and documented in consultation records.
Annual Reporting	2.10.5	the information referred to in conditions 2.5 for each follow-up program and any update to that information made pursuant to condition 2.6;	All Phases	Compliant	All required details of follow-up programs applicable to the 2025 reporting period, including monitoring targets, methodologies, and reporting procedures, have been summarized in this annual report. No updates were made to ongoing follow-up programs in 2025.
Annual Reporting	2.10.6	the summary of available results of the follow-up program requirements identified in conditions 3.10, 3.11, 3.15, 4.5, 5.7, 7.7, 7.8, 8.2, 8.5, 8.10, 8.15, 10.9, 10.11 and 10.12, including a summary of the analysis undertaken by the Proponent for each follow-up program to verify whether the impact assessment was accurate and determine whether the mitigation measures are effective based on the results obtained, and to evaluate if the Designated Project is achieving the expected outcomes referred to in condition 2.5.1, including a description of any factor that may have affected the achievement of the expected outcomes;	All Phases	Compliant	Results from follow-up programs specified by listed conditions will be analyzed and summarized, confirming assessment accuracy and mitigation effectiveness in future annual reports.
Annual Reporting	2.10.7	for any condition where implementation is stated to be dependent in whole or in part upon technical and/or economic feasibility, and the Proponent has determined it is not technically and/or economically feasible, a justification for that determination;	All Phases	Compliant	No technical or economic feasibility constraints impacted compliance; thus, no justifications were required during this reporting period.
Annual Reporting	2.10.8	for any plan that is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement, any update(s) to the plan that have been made during the reporting year; and	All Phases	Compliant	No updates to plans listed in this Decision Statement were proposed or approved for the reporting period.
Annual Reporting	2.10.9	any modified or additional mitigation measure implemented or proposed to be implemented by the Proponent, as determined pursuant to condition 2.8.	All Phases	Compliant	No modified or additional mitigation measures were required or proposed for the reporting period.
Annual Reporting	2.11	The Proponent shall submit the annual report referred to in condition 2.10 to the Agency, including a plain language executive summary in both official languages, no later than March 31 following the reporting year to which the annual report applies.	All Phases	Compliant	This annual report is being submitted to IAAC on March 31, 2026, as required, for the January 1 to December 31, 2025, reporting period.
Annual Reporting	2.12	The first reporting year for which the Proponent shall prepare an annual report pursuant to condition 2.11 shall start on the day the Minister of the Environment issues the Decision Statement pursuant to subsection 65 (1) of the Impact Assessment Act.	All Phases	Compliant	The first reporting period commenced on the issuance date of the Decision Statement; the initial report was submitted on March 31, 2024.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Information Sharing	2.13	The Proponent shall publish on the Internet, or any medium which is publicly available, the annual reports and the executive summaries referred to in conditions 2.10 and 2.11, the Chance Find Procedure referred to in condition 6.1, the Marine Transportation Management Plan referred to in condition 7.2 (including any updated plan), the Training Plan referred to in condition 8.8, the Gender Equity and Diversity Plan referred to in condition 8.9, the Health and Medical Services Plan referred to in condition 8.14, the community feedback protocol referred to in condition 9.1 (including summary reports), the reports related to accidents and malfunctions referred to in conditions 12.7.4 and 12.7.5, the Accident and Malfunction Communication Plan referred to in condition 12.8, the schedules referred to in conditions 13.1 and 13.2, and any update or revision to the above documents, upon submission of these documents to the parties consulted for the respective conditions. The Proponent shall keep these documents publicly available for 25 years following the end of operation, or until the end of decommissioning of the Designated Project, whichever comes first. The Proponent shall notify the Agency and Indigenous groups of the availability of these documents within 48 hours of their publication.	All Phases	Compliant	Cedar posted listed and required plans and reports on its website (cedarlng.com) throughout 2024, consistent with Condition 2.13. Notifications to Indigenous groups and the Agency occurred through the provision of the plans and reports directly to those groups.
Information Sharing	2.14	When the development of any plan is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement, the Proponent shall submit the plan to the Agency prior to construction, unless otherwise required through the condition.	All Phases	Compliant	All required plans were submitted to the Agency before construction began, as stipulated by the Decision Statement conditions.
Change of Proponent	2.15	The Proponent shall notify the Agency and Indigenous groups in writing no later than 30 days after the day on which there is any transfer of ownership, care, control or management of the Designated Project in whole or in part.	All Phases	Compliant	No changes in ownership, care, control, or management occurred in 2025.
Change to the Designated Project	2.16	If the Proponent is proposing to carry out the Designated Project in a manner other than described in Schedule 1 of the Decision Statement, the Proponent shall notify the Agency in writing in advance of carrying out the proposed activities. As part of the notification, the Proponent shall provide:	All Phases	Compliant	Cedar received an amendment application to IAAC and BC EAO in May of 2025, allowing an alternative transmission line corridor and expanded Marine Terminal Area. No changes in the net residual federal effects have been identified.
Change to the Designated Project	2.16.1	a description of the proposed change(s) to the Designated Project and the federal effects that may result from the proposed change(s);	All Phases	Compliant	No changes were proposed regarding the designated project during the report period.
Change to the Designated Project	2.16.2	any modified or additional measure to mitigate any federal effect that may result from the proposed change(s) and any modified or additional follow-up requirement; and	All Phases	Compliant	No changes were proposed regarding the designated project during the report period.
Change to the Designated Project	2.16.3	an explanation of how, taking into account any modified or additional mitigation measure referred to in condition 2.16.2, the federal effects that may result from the proposed change(s) may differ from the federal effects of the Designated Project identified during the impact assessment.	All Phases	Compliant	No changes were proposed regarding the designated project during the report period.
Change to the Designated Project	2.17	The Proponent shall provide to the Agency any additional information required by the Agency about the proposed change(s) referred to in condition 2.16, which may include the results of consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities on the proposed change(s) and federal effects referred to in condition 2.16.1 and the modified or additional mitigation measures and follow-up requirements referred to in condition 2.16.2.	All Phases	Compliant	No changes were proposed regarding the designated project during the report period.
3. Fish and Fish Habitat (Including Marine Mammals)					
Fish & Fish Habitat - ESC	3.1	The Proponent shall develop and implement erosion and sedimentation control measures during construction and decommissioning to prevent the release of sediments into the receiving environment. In doing so, the Proponent shall:	Construction/ Decommissioning	Compliant	Construction commenced on July 10, 2024. This work proceeded under the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP), which includes robust erosion and sediment control (ESC) measures. These measures were implemented during tree clearing, grubbing, and drainage works in the Marine Terminal Area (July to October 2024). Onsite Environmental Inspectors monitored ESC daily, consistent with the CEMP.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Fish & Fish Habitat - ESC	3.1.1	provide a description of all erosion and sedimentation control measures to the Agency prior to the start of the phase to which they pertain, including how the Proponent will take into account future climate change scenarios (including periods of high water and wind, elevated snow packs and heavy rainfalls and snowfalls) when implementing the measures; and	All Phases	Compliant	Section 5 of the CEMP presents erosion and sediment control measures including monitoring and weather event inspection guidance to address condition 3.1.1. A copy of the CEMP was provided to the Agency on July 9, 2024, prior to the start of construction.
Fish & Fish Habitat - ESC	3.1.2	maintain and regularly inspect all erosion and sediment control devices during the phase to which they pertain, including during and following rainfall events, and document and repair any defective or damaged device as soon as feasible.	All Phases	Compliant	Section 17 of the CEMP provides Cedar's approach to monitoring during construction of the Project. This monitoring, in conjunction with the sediment and erosion control provisions in Section 5 of the CEMP, address condition 3.1.2.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Watercourse Crossings	3.2	The Proponent shall have a Qualified Professional design all crossings of fish-bearing watercourses required for the Designated Project in a manner that takes into account British Columbia's and Oceans Canada's <i>Fish-Stream Crossing Guidebook</i> and Fisheries and Oceans Canada's <i>Interim Code of Practice: Temporary Stream Crossings</i> . The Proponent shall implement the watercourse crossings as designed.	Construction	Compliant	A Qualified Professional will design the crossings of fish-bearing watercourses (if required) in accordance with condition 3.2. No construction within fish-bearing watercourses occurred in 2025.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Watercourse Crossings	3.2.1	If Fisheries and Oceans Canada's <i>Interim Code of Practice: Temporary Stream Crossings</i> does not apply to any given watercourse crossing, the Proponent shall have the Qualified Professional design that watercourse crossing in compliance with the <i>Fisheries Act</i> .	Construction	Compliant	A Qualified Professional will design the crossings of fish-bearing watercourses (if required) in accordance with condition 3.2.1 and in compliance with the <i>Fisheries Act</i> .
Fish & Fish Habitat – Stormwater Runoff	3.3	The Proponent shall manage, during all phases of the Designated Project, stormwater runoff from the Designated Project so that discharges meet total suspended solids levels included in Fisheries and Oceans Canada's <i>Land Development Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Habitat</i> , and do not cause the receiving environment to exceed British Columbia's <i>Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life</i> for turbidity and total suspended solids levels for both short-term and long-term exposures.	All Phases	Compliant	The CEMP incorporates erosion and sediment control measures as specified in condition 3.3. Of most relevance are Section 5 (Erosion and Sediment Control), Section 7 (Water Management), and Section 17 (Environmental Monitoring). In addition, Cedar requires Contractors to prepare erosion and sediment control plans. Stormwater was managed as required via diversion ditches, sediment basins, and perimeter silt fencing, consistent with the CEMP.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Intertidal Piling	3.4	The Proponent shall install any pile required in the intertidal zone for the floating LNG facility strut mooring system in a manner such that installation occurs in dry working conditions at all times.	Construction	Compliant	No marine piling or in-water work occurred in 2025. Section 12 of the CEMP includes measures to protect marine resources.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5	If the Proponent opts to build a small craft jetty as part of the Designated Project, the Proponent shall manage underwater noise from the building of the jetty in a manner that avoids injury to or mortality of fish and marine mammals. In doing so, the Proponent shall:	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. Table 16 in Section 12 of the CEMP identifies the underwater noise mitigation measures that Cedar will implement during any in-water pile driving in accordance with condition 3.5.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.1	conduct any in-water work only between September 1 to February 15 of any year during which in-water work required for the building of the jetty shall occur;	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. Section 12 of the CEMP includes measures to protect marine resources, including identification of the September 1 to February 15 in-water work window.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.2.	use vibratory pile driving to install the piles required for the jetty, unless not technically feasible, as determined by a Qualified Professional;	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. Table 16 in Section 12 of the CEMP specifies that a vibratory hammer will be used for pile driving where determined to be practical and feasible by a Professional Engineer.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.3	when conducting vibratory pile driving, maintain underwater peak sound pressure levels below 207 decibels at a reference pressure of one micropascal within 10 metres of the pile at all times. The Proponent shall immediately halt vibratory pile driving if hydroacoustic monitoring conducted under the direction of a Qualified Professional indicates that noise levels exceed the threshold, and not resume without implementing sound attenuation measure(s) to reduce noise levels below the threshold;	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. Table 16 in Section 12 of the CEMP specifies the requirement for underwater noise monitoring during in-water pile driving and the 207 decibels at 10 m threshold.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.4	if impact pile driving is required:	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.4.1	install sound attenuation measure(s), that shall be operational prior to and at all times during impact pile driving, to maintain underwater peak sound pressure levels below 207 decibels at a reference pressure of one micropascal within 10 metres of the pile. The Proponent shall immediately halt impact pile driving if hydroacoustic monitoring conducted under the direction of a Qualified Professional indicates that noise levels exceed the threshold, and not resume without implementing additional sound attenuation measure(s) to reduce noise levels below the threshold;	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. Table 16 in Section 12 of the CEMP specifies the requirement for underwater noise monitoring during in-water pile driving and the 207 decibels at 10 m threshold.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.4.2.	regularly inspect any sound attenuation measure installed in accordance with condition 3.5.4.1;	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. Table 16 in Section 12 of the CEMP specifies the requirement for underwater noise monitoring. This, in addition to environmental monitoring and construction oversight, will address compliance with condition 3.5.4.1.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.4.3.	establish, prior to impact pile driving, an underwater noise exclusion zone for pinnipeds with a radius of at least 75 metres from the pile. The Proponent shall immediately halt impact pile driving if hydroacoustic monitoring conducted under the direction of a Qualified Professional indicates that the 190-decibel injury threshold for pinnipeds is exceeded at the 75-metre exclusion zone boundary, and not resume until the radius of the exclusion zone is increased to a new outer limit where hydroacoustic monitoring demonstrates that the injury threshold is not exceeded;	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. Table 16 in Section 12 of the CEMP specifies the requirement for a pinniped exclusion zone of 75 m or where underwater noise levels reach 190 dB re 1 µPa (rms), whichever is the greater distance, will be implemented during pile installation.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.4.4.	establish, prior to impact pile driving, an underwater noise exclusion zone for cetaceans with a radius of at least 1000 metres from the pile. The Proponent shall immediately halt impact pile driving if hydroacoustic monitoring conducted under the direction of a Qualified Professional indicates that a threshold of 160 decibels (root mean square) at a reference pressure of one micropascal is exceeded at the 1000-metre exclusion zone boundary, and not resume until the radius of the exclusion zone is increased to a new outer limit where hydroacoustic monitoring demonstrates that the 160-decibel threshold is not exceeded;	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. Table 16 in Section 12 of the CEMP specifies the requirement for a 1,000 m cetacean exclusion zone to be established prior to impact pile driving activities. If underwater noise recordings reveal at the behavioral threshold of 160 dB re 1 µPa (rms) is exceeded at the 1 km cetacean exclusion zone boundary, the exclusion zone radius will be widened to a new outer limit. Similarly, if the underwater noise recordings reveal that the behavioral threshold of 160 dB re 1 µPa (rms) is not exceeded at the 1 km cetacean exclusion zone boundary, the exclusion zone radius will be reduced to a distance where the 160 dB re 1 µPa (rms) is met.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.4.5.	carry out impact pile driving only when environmental conditions enable effective visual monitoring of the marine mammal exclusion zones referred to in conditions 3.5.4.3 and 3.5.4.4;	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. The CEMP includes underwater noise mitigation measures to address condition 3.5.4.5 An underwater noise memo presenting a review of relevant studies as well as an underwater noise follow-up program will be completed prior to in-water construction activities.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.4.6.	employ marine mammal observers, who are Qualified Individuals with expertise pertaining to marine mammal observation, to monitor, starting at least 30 minutes prior to and during impact pile driving, for marine mammal(s) within their respective exclusion zone referred to in conditions 3.5.4.3 and 3.5.4.4. If marine mammal(s) are observed within their respective exclusion zone, the Proponent shall immediately halt impact pile driving until the individual(s) have left the exclusion zone, or have not been sighted for at least 30 minutes;	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. Table 16 in Section 12 of the CEMP specifies that pile driving will only be carried out when environmental conditions enable effective visual monitoring of the marine mammal exclusion zone, unless other monitoring methods deemed acceptable to DFO are employed. In addition, it specifies that should a marine mammal enter their respective exclusion zone prior to the commencement of pile driving activities, pile driving will be temporarily suspended until the individual has left the exclusion zone or has not been sighted for 30 minutes.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.4.7.	employ soft-start procedures, where impact energy is gradually increased, anytime impact pile driving is suspended for 30 minutes or more. The Proponent shall immediately halt the soft-start procedures if hydroacoustic monitoring conducted under the direction of a Qualified Professional indicates that noise levels may exceed the threshold referred to in condition 3.5.4.1, and not resume without implementing additional sound attenuation measure(s) to reduce noise levels below the threshold; and	Construction	Compliant	The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. Table 16 in Section 12 of the CEMP specifies that a ramp up or soft start will be used (i.e., where the impact energy of equipment is gradually increased over 10 minutes). The ramp up or soft start procedure will also be completed anytime there is a break of 30 minutes or more in impact pile driving.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty	3.5.5.	report any exceedance of the underwater noise thresholds referred to in conditions 3.5.3 and 3.5.4.1 to Fisheries and Oceans Canada within 24 hours of the exceedance being recorded by the Proponent.	Construction	N/A	Not applicable for this reporting period; the small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025, and no in-water pile driving occurred. Cedar will report exceedances of the underwater noise thresholds referred to in conditions 3.5.3 and 3.5.4.1 to Fisheries and Oceans Canada within 24 hours of the exceedance.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine Mammals	3.6	The Proponent shall require operators of Designated Project-related LNG carriers to report to the Proponent contact(s) with marine mammal(s) along the Marine Shipping Route (Figure 3 of Schedule 1 of the Decision Statement) as soon as feasible after the operator completes the reporting of such contact(s) as required under the <i>Marine Mammal Regulations</i> .	Operations	Future Phase	This condition is not applicable to the current phase of work.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine Mammals	3.6.1.	The Proponent shall provide Indigenous groups with the information on contact(s) with marine mammal(s) reported to the Proponent in accordance with condition 3.6, within 24 hours of receiving the information.	Operations	Future Phase	This condition is not applicable to the current phase of work.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Lighting	3.7	The Proponent shall develop and implement measures applicable to each phase of the Designated Project to mitigate adverse federal effects caused by lighting associated with the Designated Project by limiting light intrusion to the marine, aquatic and terrestrial habitats, while meeting safety, operational, or regulatory requirements. The Proponent shall provide these measures to the Agency prior to implementing them. As part of these measures, the Proponent shall:	All Phases	Compliant	Very limited lighting was used during construction to support construction over late September and October 2025. Mitigation measures that were implemented consisted of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting was only used when it was needed to support project activities. Whether lights were needed was determined from a health and safety perspective by the Construction Manager. • Lighting was directed towards the work areas where it was needed to undertake work. • Lighting was directed away from Kitamaat Village. • Lighting was directed away from the marine environment. • Monitoring of the lights was added to the Environmental Inspector's responsibilities.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Lighting	3.7.1.	direct lights to areas where Designated Project activities are occurring, including through the use of down-shielded lighting fixtures to reduce the vertical or horizontal distribution of light; and	All Phases	Compliant	Very limited lighting was used to support construction over the reporting period. Downward-shielded light fixtures were installed where practical, per CEMP provisions. Section 4 of the CEMP presents light management measures to address condition 3.7.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Fish & Fish Habitat – Lighting	3.7.2.	implement adaptive lighting fixtures to limit the time and duration of lighting in areas and at times where lighting is not required on a permanent basis and that consider redshifted lighting.	All Phases	Compliant	Very limited lighting was used to support construction over the reporting period. Lighting was only used when it was needed to support project activities. Whether lights were needed was determined from a health and safety perspective by the Construction Manager.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine Water Intake	3.8	The Proponent shall design, install and operate any marine water intake required for the floating LNG facility in a manner that prevents entrainment and impingement of fish and that is consistent with the <i>Fisheries Act</i> and its regulations.	Operations	Future Phase	This condition is not applicable to the current phase of work.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Inert Gas Purge	3.9	The Proponent shall utilize, during operation, an inert gas generation system for purging LNG tanks that does not require discharge of liquid effluent to the marine environment.	Operations	Future Phase	This condition is not applicable to the current phase of work.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine WQ Follow-up Program	3.10	The Proponent shall develop, prior to operation and in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities, and implement a follow-up program with respect to adverse federal effects on fish and fish habitat from changes to marine water quality. The Proponent shall take into account British Columbia's <i>Marine Monitoring Guidance</i> when developing and implementing the follow-up program. As part of the development of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall identify the substances that will be monitored as part of the follow-up program, with a focus on potential contaminants of concern expected to be present in effluents from the Designated Project. As part of the implementation of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall:	Operations	Future Phase	Over the reporting period, Cedar developed the Marine Water Quality Follow-up Program (Document No. PC21258A-EV-MEM-00005) to address the requirements of Decision Statement condition 3.10. The Marine Water Quality Follow-up Program was provided to the Agency on July 8, 2024. Cedar consulted with Indigenous groups and Environment and Climate Change; records of consultation are available upon request.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine WQ Follow-up Program	3.10.1	sample, prior to the start of operation, concentrations of metals, anions, nutrients and hydrocarbons identified during the development of the follow-up program, at both ebbing tides and flooding tides and during summer and winter. The Proponent shall conduct each sampling at locations immediately adjacent to planned outfalls, mid-field locations, far-field locations and reference locations not expected to be impacted by the Designated Project, and at the following depths: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • one metre below surface; • approximately 12 metres below the surface; and • one metre above bottom sediments; 	Operations	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period. This requirement is included in the Marine Water Quality Follow-up Program.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine WQ Follow-up Program	3.10.2.	undertake in situ depth profile measurements of temperature, dissolved oxygen, oxidation reduction potential, pH, specific conductivity and turbidity when conducting the sampling activities referred to in condition 3.10.1;	Operations	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period. This requirement is included in the Marine Water Quality Follow-up Program.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine WQ Follow-up Program	3.10.3.	monitor, at least annually during the first five years of operation, water quality in a manner comparable to the sampling and measurement requirements set out in conditions 3.10.1 and 3.10.2, except for the sampling depth referred to in condition 3.10.1.2, which shall be mid-plume; and	Operations	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period. This requirement is included in the Marine Water Quality Follow-up Program.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine WQ Follow-up Program	3.10.4.	develop and implement modified or additional mitigation measures if the results of the monitoring referred to in condition 3.10.3 demonstrate that modified or additional mitigation measures are required in accordance with condition 2.8 to mitigate adverse federal effects on fish and fish habitat from changes to marine water quality. The Proponent shall compare the results of the monitoring referred to in condition 3.10.3 with the information collected in accordance with conditions 3.10.1 and 3.10.2 and with the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment's <i>Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life</i> and the British Columbia's <i>Water Quality Guidelines and Working Sediment Quality Guidelines</i> to determine whether modified or additional mitigation measures are required.	Operations	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period. This requirement is included in the Marine Water Quality Follow-up Program.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Fish & Fish Habitat – Small Craft Jetty Follow-up Program	3.11	If the Proponent opts to build a small craft jetty as part of the Designated Project, the Proponent shall develop, prior to construction of the jetty and in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities, and implement, during construction of the jetty, a follow-up program with respect to adverse federal effects on marine fish and marine mammals from underwater noise and suspended particulates caused by the building of the jetty.	Construction	Compliant	Not applicable for the reporting period. The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine Shipping Review	3.12	The Proponent shall review, prior to the start of construction-related marine shipping and in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant federal authorities, and update as necessary, the predictions of the Application with respect to underwater noise levels and injury/mortality risk for marine mammals along the Marine Shipping Route (Figure 3 of Schedule 1 of the Decision Statement). As part of the review, the Proponent shall consider any relevant information from regional initiatives and research programs in the Northern Shelf Bioregion and available to the Proponent at the time of the review.	Construction	Compliant	Not applicable for the reporting period. The small craft jetty was not constructed in 2025.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine Shipping Review	3.13	The Proponent shall provide the results of the review referred to in condition 3.12 to the Agency, Indigenous groups and relevant federal authorities at least 60 days prior to the start of construction-related marine shipping, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a description of all information sources that the Proponent considered for the review; • a description of, and a rationale for, any updated prediction or, if the Proponent concludes that predictions do not require updates, a justification of that conclusion; and • a description of any technically and economically feasible mitigation measure(s) under the care and control of the Proponent necessary to address any updated prediction. 	Construction	Compliant	Not applicable for this reporting period. No marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine Shipping	3.14	The Proponent shall implement, in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant federal authorities, the mitigation measure(s) identified in accordance with condition 3.13.3.	Construction	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period. No marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine Shipping Follow-up Program	3.15	The Proponent shall develop, prior to the start of construction-related marine shipping and in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant federal authorities, and implement, during construction-related marine shipping and during the first two years of operation, a follow-up program to verify the accuracy of the impact assessment with respect to underwater noise levels and injury/mortality risk for marine mammals along the Marine Shipping Route (Figure 3 of Schedule 1 of the Decision Statement), including the accuracy of any updated prediction made in accordance with condition 3.12. The Proponent shall develop and implement the follow-up program in accordance with conditions 2.5 to 2.9.	Construction/ Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period. No marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Fish & Fish Habitat – Marine Shipping Follow-up Program	3.15.1.	The Proponent shall conduct monitoring associated with the follow-up program over a period of up to three months during construction-related marine shipping and for nine return transits of Designated Project-related LNG carriers during operation.	Construction	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period. No marine shipping occurred in 2025.
4. Migratory Birds					
Migratory Birds - Protection of Birds	4.1	The Proponent shall carry out the Designated Project in a manner that protects migratory birds and avoids injuring, killing or harassing migratory birds or destroying, taking or disturbing their eggs, or damaging, destroying, removing or disturbing their nests. In this regard, the Proponent shall take into account Environment and Climate Change Canada's <i>Guidelines to avoid harm to migratory birds</i> .	All Phases	Compliant	Section 14 of the CEMP specifies the environmental protection measures for the protection of migratory birds. Tree clearing was scheduled to avoid prime early nesting periods to the extent feasible. Pre-clearing bird-nest surveys were completed under the guidance of a Qualified Professional. No Project-related injuries to migratory or other birds occurred.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Migratory Birds - Protection of Birds	4.2	If the Proponent identifies nest(s) protected under the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</i> and its regulations and/or the <i>Species at Risk Act</i> that may be adversely affected by any Designated Project activity, the Proponent shall delineate, as determined by and under the direction of a Qualified Professional and in a manner described in condition 4.1, spatial restriction(s) around the nest(s) within which that activity shall not happen. The Proponent shall implement the spatial restriction(s) before and during the activity occurring.	All Phases	Compliant	Tree clearing took place from September to November 2025 outside of the regional nesting period. No Project-related injuries to migratory or other birds occurred.
Migratory Birds – Timing Windows	4.3	The Proponent shall schedule vegetation clearing required for the Designated Project outside of the applicable regional nesting periods for the Designated Project. Where vegetation clearing is planned during the nesting periods, the Proponent shall use non-intrusive survey methods, prior to starting vegetation clearing and under the direction of a Qualified Professional and in a manner described in condition 4.1, to determine whether migratory birds are breeding in any of the areas to be cleared.	Construction	Compliant	Vegetation clearing on the transmission line corridor occurred from September to November 2025 outside of the regional nesting period.
Migratory Birds – Timing Windows	4.3.1	For any vegetation clearing planned during the nesting periods, the Proponent shall prohibit working within the spatial restriction(s) established around active migratory birds nest(s), unless the Proponent develop additional feature-specific mitigation measures (as determined by and under the direction of a Qualified Professional and in a manner described in condition 4.1) and implement them before and during vegetation clearing. The Proponent shall provide any such measure to the Agency prior to implementing them.	Construction	Compliant	Tree clearing took place from September to November 2025 outside of the regional nesting period. No Project-related injuries to migratory or other birds occurred.. No Project-related injuries to migratory or other birds occurred.
Migratory Birds – Timing Windows	4.4	The Proponent shall not undertake any vegetation clearing required for the Designated Project in any of the areas identified on Figure 13 of Appendix 7.5A of the Application as having moderate and high habitat suitability for marbled murrelet (<i>Brachyramphus marmoratus</i>) during the nesting period of any year during which vegetation clearing shall occur (April 1 to September 14). Where vegetation clearing is required in any of these areas during the nesting period, the Proponent shall:	Construction	Compliant	No clearing occurred in moderate or high habitat suitability for marbled murrelet during the reporting period.
Migratory Birds – Timing Windows	4.4.1	undertake a ground-based survey, directed by a Qualified Professional, prior to undertaking vegetation clearing to verify if biophysical attributes that represent nesting critical habitat for marbled murrelet (<i>Brachyramphus marmoratus</i>) according to Environment and Climate Change Canada's <i>Recovery Strategy for the Marbled Murrelet (Brachyramphus marmoratus) in Canada [Proposed]</i> , are present in any of the areas to be cleared; and	Construction	Compliant	No clearing occurred in moderate or high habitat suitability for marbled murrelet during the reporting period. The alternate transmission line route, approved via Amendment in May 2025, avoids areas identified as having moderate or high habitat suitability for marbled murrelet nesting. As a result, Cedar does not plan vegetation clearing within areas that could support biophysical attributes of nesting critical habitat for marbled murrelet, and no further marbled murrelet surveys will be needed.
Migratory Birds – Timing Windows	4.4.2	if the survey referred to in condition 4.5.1 indicates that biophysical attributes that represent nesting critical habitat for marbled murrelet (<i>Brachyramphus marmoratus</i>) are present in a given area, undertake vegetation clearing in that area outside of the nesting period only.	Construction	Compliant	No clearing occurred in moderate or high habitat suitability for marbled murrelet during the reporting period.
Migratory Birds – Follow-up Program	4.5	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Indigenous groups, Environment and Climate Change Canada and British Columbia Coast Pilots Limited, and implement, during all phases of the Designated Project, a follow-up program with respect to migratory birds and their habitat. As part of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall determine the effectiveness of the mitigation measures used to comply with conditions 4.1 to 4.3 during the phase of the Designated Project to which each mitigation measure pertains, including by:	Construction	Compliant	Over the reporting period, Cedar developed the Wildlife Follow-up Program (Document No. PC21258A-EV-MEM-00002) to address the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 4.5. The Wildlife Follow-up Program was provided to the Agency on July 8, 2024. Cedar consulted with Indigenous groups and Environment and Climate Change; records of consultation are available upon request. The Wildlife Follow-up Program includes observational monitoring for migratory birds, especially during the nesting season. In 2024, no collisions or mortalities were detected. Cedar will expand on migratory bird follow-up as the facility construction intensifies in future years.
Migratory Birds – Follow-up Program	4.5.1	monitor changes to the habitat for marbled murrelet (<i>Brachyramphus marmoratus</i>) in areas referred to in condition 4.4;	Construction	Compliant	The Wildlife Follow-up Program includes methods for monitoring changes to habitat, which will be completed within 12 months of completion of construction.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Migratory Birds – Follow-up Program	4.5.2	monitoring, during periods of inclement weather in the first two years of operation, for bird mortality or injury around the perimeter of Designated Project buildings and structures in the Facility Area (Figure 2 of Schedule 1 of the Decision Statement) during bird migration and breeding periods;	Operations	Future Phase	The Wildlife Follow-up Program includes methods for monitoring bird mortality or injury in the first two years of operation. Implementation of this monitoring is not applicable for this reporting period.
Migratory Birds – Follow-up Program	4.5.3	recording discovery of bird mortality or injury during routine inspections and maintenance activities in the Transmission Line Corridor (Figure 2 of Schedule 1 of the Decision Statement);	Construction	Compliant	The Wildlife Follow-up Program includes methods for recording discovery of bird mortality or injury during inspections and maintenance activities in the transmission line corridor. Implementation of reporting is not applicable for this reporting period because the transmission line infrastructure is not present.
Migratory Birds – Follow-up Program	4.5.4	documenting information on bird strike(s) and/or strandings(s) occurring on Designated Project-related LNG carriers if the Proponent determines, in consultation with British Columbia Coast Pilots Limited during the development of the follow-up program, that such information is available to the Proponent; and	Construction	Compliant	The Wildlife Follow-up Program includes methods for documenting bird strikes and strandings. However, implementation of documentation is not applicable for this reporting period.
Migratory Birds – Follow-up Program	4.5.5	developing and implementing modified or additional mitigation measures if the results of the monitoring referred to in conditions 4.5.1 and 4.5.2 or information recorded in accordance with condition 4.5.3 demonstrate that modified or additional mitigation measures are required to avoid harming migratory birds, their eggs and nests.	Construction	Compliant	Cedar did not identify the need to modify existing mitigation measures or implement additional measures over the reporting period.
5. Greenhouse Gas Emissions					
GHG Emissions – Net Zero by 20250	5.1	Commencing on January 1, 2050, the Proponent shall ensure that the marine shipping component of the Designated Project, including LNG carriers calling on the marine terminal and their associated tugs, does not emit greater than net 0 kilotonnes of carbon dioxide equivalents per year (kt CO ₂ eq/year), as calculated in accordance with the Government of Canada's <i>Draft Technical Guide Related to the Strategic Assessment of Climate Change: Guidance on Quantification of Net GHG Emissions, Impact on Carbon Sinks, Mitigation Measures, Net-Zero Plan and Upstream GHG Assessment</i> . In doing so, the Proponent shall:	Operations	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
GHG Emissions – Net Zero by 20250	5.1.1	Develop, prior to operation, and in consultation with Environment and Climate Change Canada, a greenhouse gas management plan for the marine shipping component of the Designated Project that aligns with the greenhouse gas management plan required by the British Columbia's Environmental Assessment Office's Certificate for the Designated Project. The Proponent shall provide the final Plan to the Agency, Environment and Climate Change Canada and Indigenous groups prior to operation;	Operations	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
GHG Emissions – Net Zero by 20250	5.1.1.1	As part of the development of the Plan referred to in condition 5.1.1, the Proponent shall review, and update as necessary, the predicted greenhouse gas emissions for the marine shipping component of the Designated Project during operation presented in Table 39 of the Assessment Report; and	Operations	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
GHG Emissions – Net Zero by 20250	5.1.2	Review and update the Plan referred to in condition 5.1.1 in consultation with Environment and Climate Change Canada after the fifth year following the start of operation and thereafter according to a schedule determined at each review until the marine shipping component of the Designated Project achieves net-zero greenhouse gas emissions or until 2050, whichever comes first. If the Proponent updates the Plan, the Proponent shall provide an updated version to the Agency, Environment and Climate Change Canada and Indigenous groups within 30 days of the Plan being updated.	Operations	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
GHG Emissions – Net Zero Plan	5.2	[Modified and moved to Condition 5.1.1, <i>Budget Implementation Act, 2024</i>]	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
GHG Emissions – Net Zero Plan	5.3	[Modified and moved to Condition 5.1.2, <i>Budget Implementation Act, 2024</i>]	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
GHG Emissions – Use of Electricity	5.4	[Moved to Condition 8.17, <i>Budget Implementation Act, 2024</i>]	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
GHG Emissions - Idling & Equipment Maintenance	5.5	[Moved to Condition 8.18, <i>Budget Implementation Act, 2024</i>]	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
GHG Emissions – Limit Flaring/Venting	5.6	[Removed, <i>Budget Implementation Act, 2024</i>]	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
GHG Emissions –Follow-up Program	5.7	[Removed, <i>Budget Implementation Act, 2024</i>]	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
6. Physical and Cultural Heritage and Structures, Sites or Things of Historical, Archeological, Paleontological or Architectural Significance.					
Chance Find Procedure	6.1	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Haisla Nation, a Chance Find Procedure to implement in the event that suspected physical and cultural heritage resources (including culturally modified trees and physical evidence of human habitation or use) and structures, sites or things of historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance are discovered by the Proponent, or brought to the attention of the Proponent by another party, within the local assessment area for heritage resources shown on Figure 7.13.1 of the Application during construction. As part of the procedure, the Proponent shall develop and implement procedures respecting the handling, recording, transferring and safekeeping of any discovery, including procedures to prevent unauthorized access to any such discovery.	Construction	Compliant	A Chance Find Procedure for heritage sites has been developed and is provided in Appendix B of the CEMP. The CEMP, including the Chance Find Procedure, was developed in consultation with Haisla Nation and addresses condition 6.1. There were no chance finds encountered in 2025.
Chance Find Procedure	6.1.1	The Proponent shall inform the Agency and Haisla Nation within 24 hours of any discovery subject to the requirements of the Chance Find Procedure referred to in condition 6.1.	Construction	Compliant	The Chance Find Procedure in the CEMP includes a requirement for the Agency and Haisla Nation to be notified within 24 hours of a confirmed heritage site chance find as per Condition 6.1.1. There were no chance finds encountered in 2025.
7. Current Use of Lands and Resources for Traditional Purposes (Including Marine Use)					
Recreational Hunting/Fishing Restrictions	7.1	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, and implement, during construction, procedures for restricting non-local contractor personnel from engaging in recreational hunting, fishing or ATV or snowmobile use during off-work hours.	Construction	Compliant	Procedures for restricting non-local contractor personnel from engaging in recreational hunting, fishing, or ATV or snowmobile use during off-work hours are included in the Socioeconomic Management Plan, Section 10.3 Recreation Policy developed by Cedar. Cedar includes the Socioeconomic Management Plan in construction contracts.
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.2	The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities, and implement a Marine Transportation Management Plan to mitigate federal adverse effects on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Indigenous Peoples caused by construction-related marine shipping and operation-related marine shipping. The Proponent shall develop the section of the Plan applicable to each phase of marine shipping activities prior to the beginning of the marine shipping activities to which that section pertains, and provide each section to the Agency and Indigenous groups at least 60 days prior to the beginning of the activities to which that section pertains. As part of each section, the Proponent shall explain how relevant aspect(s) of the British Columbia Coast Pilots Limited-led Navigational Risk Assessment applicable to the Designated Project have informed the development of the section. Each section shall describe the means by which the Proponent shall:	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.2.1	use the Canadian Coast Guard's Marine Communication and Traffic System to provide notice of planned arrival times of Designated Project-related construction vessels (where applicable) or LNG carriers at the Triple Island Boarding Station;	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.2.2	implement the community feedback protocol referred to in condition 9.1 to allow marine users to report concerns related to interference between Designated Project related marine shipping and marine use;	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.2.3	communicate information about Designated Project-related marine shipping to Indigenous groups, including the schedule of Designated Project-related construction vessels or LNG carriers, using targeted communication procedures designed in consultation with Indigenous groups during the development of the Plan;	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.2.4	establish a safety zone around the marine terminal and inform marine users of potential nearby safety hazards associated with the Designated Project;	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.2.5	participate in regional initiative(s), in which the Proponent is invited to participate and where agreed upon by the party(ies) responsible for the initiative(s), related to the monitoring, assessment and management of adverse federal effects of marine shipping associated with the Designated Project, in the event that such initiative(s) are undertaken during any phase of the Designated Project. Initiative(s) in which the Proponent is required to participate include initiative(s) aimed at:	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.2.5.1	improving safety for marine users and reducing risks; or	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.2.5.2	understanding and mitigating environmental effects of marine shipping (including cumulative effects that are likely to result from the implementation of the Designated Project in combination with other past, current or future physical activities); and	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.2.6	conduct, at the request of Indigenous group(s), workshop(s) to promote safe navigation practices for marine users.	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	To date, Indigenous groups(s) have not requested workshop(s) to promote safe navigation practices. No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.3	The Proponent shall implement any technically and economically feasible mitigation measure(s) and/or follow-up program(s) under its care and control identified through regional initiative(s) referred to in condition 7.2.5.	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.4	The Proponent shall review the Marine Transportation Management Plan referred to in conditions 7.2 in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities and at a regular frequency determined during the development of each section of the Plan. If the Proponent updates the Plan, the Proponent shall provide an updated version of the Plan to the Agency, Indigenous groups and relevant authorities within 30 days of the Plan being updated.	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Marine Transportation Management Plan	7.4.1	The Proponent shall consider available information from the regional initiative(s) referred to in condition 7.2.5, the results of the follow-up programs referred to in conditions 3.15 and 7.7, the results of any additional follow-up program referred to in condition 7.8, and any feedback related to marine use received as part of the community feedback protocol referred to in condition 9.1 when reviewing the Plan.	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025.
Anchorage and Pilotage	7.5	The Proponent shall require Designated Project-related LNG carriers to only commence pilotage if a berth at the Designated Project, or a designated anchorage site, is available, as the Proponent shall not allow planned anchoring other than at a designated anchorage site.	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable to this reporting period.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Anchorage and Pilotage	7.6	The Proponent shall require Designated Project-related LNG carriers to take into account the <i>British Columbia North Coast Waterway Management Guidelines</i> , as modified from time to time or as replaced by any future equivalent navigation guidelines, when planning their passage to and from the Designated Project, subject to navigational safety and the authority of masters and pilots to operate the LNG carriers.	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable to this reporting period.
Wake Follow-up Program	7.7	The Proponent shall develop, prior to operation and in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities, and implement a follow-up program with respect to adverse federal effects on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes from wakes generated by the Designated Project along the Marine Shipping Route (Figure 3 of Schedule 1 of the Decision Statement). As part of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall:	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable to this reporting period.
Wake Follow-up Program	7.7.1	incorporate any new information into the follow-up program that has become publicly available since the completion of the impact assessment about how wakes can be characterized;	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Wake Follow-up Program	7.7.2	meet with Indigenous groups at their convenience to:	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Wake Follow-up Program	7.7.2.1	present any new information referred to in condition 7.7.1;	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Wake Follow-up Program	7.7.2.2	validate adverse federal effects on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes from wake identified during the impact assessment; and	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Wake Follow-up Program	7.7.2.3	identify any modified or additional technically and economically feasible mitigation measure that the Proponent has implemented (or is proposing to implement) to mitigate adverse federal effects on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes from wakes;	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Wake Follow-up Program	7.7.3	prior to the first LNG carrier calling at the Designated Project, report to Indigenous groups all information referred to in condition 7.7.1 and 7.7.2, including information provided by Indigenous groups during or following any meeting with the Proponent;	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Wake Follow-up Program	7.7.4	monitor, for at least the first three years of operation, changes in the extent of marine vegetation (eelgrass and/or kelp) during the summer in locations identified in consultation with Indigenous groups along the Marine Shipping Route (Figure 3 of Schedule 1 of the Decision Statement), using remote sensing data, and compare that information with remote sensing data of marine vegetation obtained for the same locations and the same time periods during the two years preceding the start of operation; and	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Wake Follow-up Program	7.7.5	before the end of the fifth year of operation, meet with Indigenous groups, at their convenience and in a manner agreed upon by the Proponent, to present the information referred to in conditions 7.7.1 and 7.7.2 and the results of the monitoring and comparison referred to in condition 7.7.4. If Indigenous groups have experienced and are reporting any new or different adverse federal effects on their current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes from wake, the Proponent shall determine, in consultation with Indigenous groups, if modified or additional mitigation measures that are under the care and control of the Proponent are required, and implement any such technically and economically feasible modified or additional mitigation measure.	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Indigenous Specific Marine Shipping Follow-up Program	7.8	The Proponent shall identify, prior to the start of construction-related marine shipping and in consultation with Indigenous groups, the need for any additional Indigenous group-specific follow-up program with respect to adverse federal effects of Designated Project-related marine shipping on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Indigenous Peoples along the Marine Shipping Route (Figure 3 of Schedule 1 of the Decision Statement) (including marine use, harvesting and integrity of and access to coastal culturally-important features and sites). The Proponent shall develop and implement any such follow-up program, where technically and economically feasible, in consultation with the involved Indigenous group(s) and in accordance with conditions 2.5 to 2.9.	Construction	Compliant	No construction-related marine shipping occurred in 2025. To date, Cedar's engagement with Indigenous Nations has not identified the need for an Indigenous group-specific follow-up program with respect to adverse federal effects of Project-related marine shipping on the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes.

8. Health, Social and Economic Conditions of Indigenous Peoples

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Noise Management	8.1	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, and implement, during construction, a procedure for notifying occupants of residential dwellings located within the local assessment area for acoustics shown on Figure 7.3.1 of the Application of planned high-disturbance noise-causing activities required for the Designated Project (including blasting, helicopter work and pile driving). The Proponent shall provide the following information to the Agency prior to construction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the locations of all residential dwellings subject to the procedure; a planned schedule of the noise-causing activities subject to the procedure; and the methods (including the means of communication and the timing) to be used to notify occupants that the noise-causing activities subject to the procedure will occur. 	Construction	Compliant	Cedar developed a High-disturbance Noise Causing Activities Procedure that includes the information required by condition 8.1. This procedure was provided to the Agency on July 9, 2024, in advance of the start of construction. Notifications were provided in advance of the helicopter work during vegetation clearing in October-November 2025..
Noise Management Follow-up Program	8.2	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Haisla Nation and relevant authorities (including Health Canada and Northern Health Authority) and implement a follow-up program with respect to adverse federal effects on the health, social and economic conditions of Indigenous peoples from changes to the acoustic environment. As part of the development of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall identify sensitive noise receptors at which monitoring shall occur. As part of the implementation of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall:	Construction	Compliant	The Acoustic Follow-up Program (Document No. PC21258A-EV-MEM-00003) was established in 2024 and provided to the Agency.
Noise Management Follow-up Program	8.2.1	monitor, during the year prior to construction and continuing through the first three years of operation (for three to five days each year during summer, when weather conditions do not interfere with sound monitoring), sound levels at the sensitive noise receptors identified during the development of the follow-up program; and	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Acoustic Follow-up Program identifies three sensitive noise receptors for monitoring. Five days of baseline noise monitoring was conducted in September 2023 (i.e., prior to construction) at three identified receptors. Monitoring during construction and in the first three years of operation is planned and documented in the Acoustic Follow-up Program.
Noise Management Follow-up Program	8.2.2	develop and implement modified or additional mitigation measures if results of the monitoring referred to in condition 8.2.1 demonstrate that modified or additional mitigation measures are required in accordance with condition 2.8 to mitigate adverse federal effects on the health, social and economic conditions of Indigenous peoples from changes to the acoustic environment. The Proponent shall compare the monitoring results to the following information when determining whether modified or additional mitigation measures are required:	Construction	N/A	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Noise Management Follow-up Program	8.2.2.1	the results of the noise modelling completed for the impact assessment and presented in Tables 7.3.11 to 7.3.15 of the Application and in the technical memo <i>Application Information Request: HC-019 Response</i> (dated March 31, 2022);	Construction	N/A	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Noise Management Follow-up Program	8.2.2.2	permissible sound levels established in British Columbia Oil and Gas Commission's <i>British Columbia Noise Control Best Practices Guideline</i> ;	Construction	N/A	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Noise Management Follow-up Program	8.2.2.3	thresholds for percent highly annoyed and sleep disturbance (in terms of nighttime sound levels and maximum A-weighted sound levels) recommended in Health Canada's <i>Guidance for Evaluating Human Health Impacts in Environmental Assessment: Noise</i> ; and	Construction	N/A	Not applicable for this reporting period.
Noise Management Follow-up Program	8.2.2.4	any feedback related to noise received as part of the community feedback protocol referred to in condition 9.1.	Construction	N/A	Not applicable for this reporting period.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Fugitive Dust	8.3	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction, and implement, during construction and decommissioning, measures to control fugitive dust emissions from the Designated Project, including dust generation from road traffic. The Proponent shall provide these measures to the Agency prior to implementing them.	Construction/ Decommissioning	Compliant	The CEMP (Section 10) includes dust management measures (speed limits, water trucks for unpaved surfaces, covering loads). Clearing and grubbing (July to October 2024) generated minimal dust and access roads were watered as required; no complaints were reported. A copy of the CEMP was provided to the Agency on July 9, 2024, prior to the start of construction.
Vehicle Idling	8.4	The Proponent shall implement a policy to restrict idling of all vehicles and mobile equipment required for the Designated Project. The Proponent shall require and ensure that all persons operating such vehicles and mobile equipment abide by this policy, unless idling is required for health or safety reasons. The Proponent shall provide the policy to the Agency prior to construction.	Construction	Compliant	Section 10 of the CEMP outlines the requirement to restrict idling of Project vehicles to the degree possible except during adverse weather events or where safety concerns exist as required by condition 8.4. The CEMP was provided to the Agency on July 9, 2024, prior to the start of construction.
Air Quality Follow-up Program	8.5	The Proponent shall develop, prior to operation and in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities (including Health Canada and Northern Health Authority) and implement a follow-up program with respect to adverse federal effects on the health, social and economic conditions of Indigenous peoples from changes to air quality. As part of the implementation of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall:	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Air Quality Follow-up Program	8.5.1	monitor, during the first three years of operation and based on data from monitoring stations in the Kitimat area, nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂), sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) and fine particulate matter (PM _{2.5}); and	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Air Quality Follow-up Program	8.5.2	develop and implement modified or additional mitigation measures if results of the monitoring referred to in condition 8.5.1 demonstrate that modified or additional mitigation measures are required in accordance with condition 2.8 to mitigate adverse federal effects on the health, social and economic conditions of Indigenous peoples from changes to air quality. The Proponent shall compare the monitoring results to the following information when determining whether modified or additional mitigation measures are required:	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Air Quality Follow-up Program	8.5.2.1	the results of the air quality modelling presented in Table 10 of the Assessment Report;	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Air Quality Follow-up Program	8.5.2.2	the predicted air contaminants concentrations without Kitimat LNG emissions for sensitive human receptors presented in the technical memo <i>Application Information Request: HC-027.1 and NH-063.1 Response</i> (dated May 16, 2022);	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Air Quality Follow-up Program	8.5.2.3	federal and provincial air quality objectives applicable at the time monitoring is conducted; and	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Air Quality Follow-up Program	8.5.2.4	any feedback related to air quality received as part of the community feedback protocol referred to in condition 9.1.	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Employment & Procurement	8.6	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Indigenous groups, and implement, during all phases of the Designated Project, measures to inform Indigenous peoples of Designated Project-related employment and procurement opportunities, using targeted communication procedures designed in consultation with Indigenous groups. The Proponent shall provide these measures to the Agency prior to implementing them.	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Socioeconomic Management Plan (SEMP) (PC21258-RG-PLN-00002) includes an Indigenous Procurement Plan (Section 8.2), a hiring, training and workforce strategy (Section 9.0) and a SEMP implementation engagement strategy (Section 11.0). The SEMP was provided to the Agency on July 9, 2024, prior to the start of construction.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Employment & Procurement	8.7	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Indigenous groups, and implement, during all phases of the Designated Project, measures to increase opportunities for local businesses that are, and remain for the duration of the contract, at least 51 percent owned and controlled by Indigenous peoples to obtain Designated Project-related procurement and/or subcontracting contracts, with a focus on obtaining repeated and/or ongoing procurement and/or subcontracting contracts. The Proponent shall provide these measures to the Agency prior to implementing them.	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's SEMP includes an Indigenous Procurement Plan (Section 8.2). The SEMP was provided to the Agency on July 9, 2024, prior to the start of construction. Cedar implemented the Indigenous procurement strategies over the reporting period. Both contractors hired by Cedar over the reporting period (Main Logging and Dent Construction) were Indigenous Nation partnerships.
Training Plan	8.8	The Proponent shall develop, prior to operation and in consultation with Indigenous groups, and implement a Training Plan to increase opportunities for Indigenous peoples to obtain skills and training required to be employed by the Designated Project during operation. The Proponent shall provide the Plan to the Agency prior to operation. The Plan shall describe the means by which the Proponent will:	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Training Plan	8.8.1	identify the prerequisite skills and training, both certified and uncertified, required to be employed by the Designated Project;	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Training Plan	8.8.3.	inform Indigenous groups, using targeted communication procedures designed in consultation with Indigenous groups, of the skills and training prerequisites referred to in condition 8.8.1 and of the measures referred to in condition 8.8.2 to achieve these prerequisites.	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Gender Equity and Diversity Plan	8.9	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Indigenous groups, and implement, during all phases of the Designated Project, a Gender Equity and Diversity Plan to increase opportunities for Indigenous peoples, including Haisla Nation members and Indigenous women, to obtain and retain employment with the Designated Project. The Plan shall describe the means by which the Proponent will:	Construction	Compliant	Cedar developed the Gender Equity and Diversity Plan to address the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 8.9. The Gender Equity and Diversity Plan was provided to the Agency on July 8, 2024. Cedar consulted with Indigenous groups during development of the Gender Equity and Diversity Plan. Records of consultation are available upon request.
Gender Equity and Diversity Plan	8.9.1	make available to Indigenous peoples that are employed by the Designated Project a senior official who is in a position to, and empowered to, address workplace situation(s) in relation to gender equity and diversity, including in terms of harassment, racism, sexism and violence; and	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Gender Equity and Diversity Plan has been developed to address condition 8.9 and was implemented over the reporting period.
Gender Equity and Diversity Plan	8.9.2	implement a mechanism for Indigenous peoples that are employed by the Designated Project to provide feedback to the Proponent in relation to gender equity and diversity, including by:	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Gender Equity and Diversity Plan has been developed to address condition 8.9 and was implemented over the reporting period.
Gender Equity and Diversity Plan	8.9.2.1.	documenting and responding to any feedback received as soon as feasible; and	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Gender Equity and Diversity Plan has been developed to address condition 8.9 and was implemented over the reporting period.
Gender Equity and Diversity Plan	8.9.2.2	communicating regularly with Indigenous peoples that are employed by the Designated Project to inform them of how they can make use of the feedback mechanism and how the Proponent has responded to any feedback received.	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Gender Equity and Diversity Plan has been developed to address condition 8.9 and was implemented over the reporting period.
Gender Equity and Diversity Plan – Follow-up Program	8.10	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Indigenous groups, and implement a follow-up program with respect to the implementation of the Gender Equity and Diversity Plan referred to in condition 8.9. As part of the implementation of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall:	Construction	Compliant	Cedar developed the Gender Equity and Diversity Plan to address the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 8.10. The Gender Equity and Diversity Plan was provided to the Agency on July 8, 2024. Cedar consulted with Indigenous groups during development of the Gender Equity and Diversity Plan. Records of consultation are available upon request.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Gender Equity and Diversity Plan – Follow-up Program	8.10.1.	monitor and report annually, during construction and the first five years of operation, employment data for the Designated Project by identity factor(s), based on voluntarily disclosed disaggregated data provided by employees, and job type(s); and	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	Cedar's Gender Equity and Diversity Plan has been developed to address condition 8.10 and was implemented over the reporting period.
Gender Equity and Diversity Plan – Follow-up Program	8.10.2	develop and implement modified or additional mitigation measures, which may include updating the Gender Equity and Diversity Plan, if the results of the monitoring referred to in condition 8.10.1 and information obtained by the Proponent during the implementation of the Designated Project (including feedback received through the mechanism referred to in condition 8.9.2), demonstrate that modified or additional mitigation measures are required to achieve gender equity and diversity.	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Gender, Equity and Diversity Plan has been developed to address condition 8.10 and was implemented over the reporting period.
Gender Equity and Diversity Plan – Follow-up Program	8.11	The Proponent shall update the follow-up program referred to in condition 8.10 in accordance with condition 2.7 when new regional labour-related disaggregated data from Statistics Canada's 2021 census survey becomes available to the Proponent.	Construction	Compliant	In November 2023, Cedar produced a memo that reports out on the new disaggregated labour force data from Statistics Canada's 2021 census survey regarding identity factors such as gender, Indigenous peoples, LGBTQ2+, (dis)abled people, or immigrants. This data was used as the basis for the Gender Equity and Diversity Plan.
Workplace Policies & Worker Codes of Conduct	8.12	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Indigenous groups, relevant authorities and community stakeholders, and implement, during all phases of the Designated Project, measures to promote safe, respectful and inclusive conduct in the workplace and the community. The Proponent shall demonstrate how federal adverse effects on Indigenous women and girls and calls to justice 13.1 to 13.5 directed to the extractive and development industries included in <i>Reclaiming Power and Place: The Final Report of the National Inquiry into Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women and Girls</i> are addressed in the development and implementation of the measures. As part of the measures, the Proponent shall:	Construction	Compliant	Cedar developed the Gender Equity and Diversity Plan to support the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 8.12. The Gender Equity and Diversity Plan (pages 10-11) includes measures to address the calls to justice 13.1 to 13.5 directed to the extractive and development industries included in <i>Reclaiming Power and Place: The Final Report of the National Inquiry into Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women and Girls</i> .
Workplace Policies & Worker Codes of Conduct	8.12.1	implement a workplace anti-harassment, bullying, discrimination and violence policy that contains gender-appropriate and gender-specific policies and processes, including sexual harassment and assault counselling and confidential and culturally sensitive care;	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Gender Equity and Diversity Plan has been developed to address condition 8.12 and was implemented over the reporting period.
Workplace Policies & Worker Codes of Conduct	8.12.2	implement a policy regarding the use and possession of drugs and alcohol in the workplace, with a zero tolerance for use of, or being under the influence of, illicit drugs or alcohol during work hours;	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Gender Equity and Diversity Plan has been developed to address condition 8.12 and was implemented over the reporting period.
Workplace Policies & Worker Codes of Conduct	8.12.3	develop mandatory cross-cultural awareness training in consultation with Indigenous groups, and provide the training to employees of the Designated Project and contractors associated with the Designated Project; and	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Gender Equity and Diversity Plan has been developed to address condition 8.12 and was implemented over the reporting period.
Workplace Policies & Worker Codes of Conduct	8.12.4	develop a Worker Code of Conduct that includes expectations and requirements in relation to the measures developed to promote safe, respectful and inclusive conduct in the workplace and the community (including the policies referred to in conditions 8.12.1 and 8.12.2). When providing the Worker Code of Conduct to the Agency prior to construction, the Proponent shall confirm how employees of the Designated Project and contractors associated with the Designated Project will be made aware of the Worker Code of Conduct and will be required to comply with it.	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Gender Equity and Diversity Plan has been developed to address condition 8.12 and was implemented over the reporting period.
Workplace Policies & Worker Codes of Conduct	8.13	The Proponent shall document the participation of employees of the Designated Project and contractors associated with the Designated Project in the training referred to in condition 8.12.3.	Construction	Compliant	Cedar provides employee and contractor training through online systems that document the completion of required training.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Health and Medical Services Plan	8.14	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities (including Northern Health Authority), and implement, during construction, a Health and Medical Services Plan to mitigate the impacts of the Designated Project on local health and medical services that may be used by Indigenous peoples. The Plan shall describe the means by which the Proponent will:	Construction	Compliant	Cedar developed the Health and Medical Services Plan (HMSP) (Document No. PC21258-SA-PLN-00004) to address the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 8.14. Cedar consulted with Indigenous groups and Northern Health during development of the HMSP. Records of consultation are available upon request.
Health and Medical Services Plan	8.14.1	determine which health and medical conditions will be considered non-urgent and can be treated onsite, and which health and medical conditions will be considered urgent and must be treated offsite by local medical and health care service providers;	Construction	Compliant	Section 7.2 of the HMSP describes what medical conditions can be treated onsite and what medical conditions will need to be treated off-site. Cedar has an onsite first-aid station staffed by certified medical personnel to treat non-urgent conditions. One recordable first-aid incident occurred in 2025.
Health and Medical Services Plan	8.14.2	provide onsite first-aid station(s) and medical room(s) and certified medical staff to treat health and medical conditions that can be treated onsite, as determined in accordance with condition 8.14.1; and	Construction	Compliant	Section 7.2 of the HMSP describes onsite medical service provisions including first-aid stations, medical clinic with beds, certified first -aid staff and access to virtual primary care. Cedar has an onsite first-aid station staffed by certified medical personnel to treat non-urgent conditions. One recordable restricted work incident occurred in 2025..
Health and Medical Services Plan	8.14.3	establish and maintain, at all times, communication procedures for requesting outside emergency aid for urgent health and medical conditions, as determined in accordance with condition 8.14.1, and a process for coordinating the management of urgent care and medical escalations with local medical and health care service providers.	Construction	Compliant	Section 10.0 of the HMSP describes a communication and notification protocol for patient flow, trauma care and emergency response. One recordable restricted work incident occurred in 2025.
Health and Medical Services Plan – Follow-up Program	8.15	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities (including Northern Health Authority), and implement, during construction, a follow-up program with respect to the implementation of the Health and Medical Services Plan referred to in condition 8.14.	Construction	Compliant	The HMSP includes a follow-up program (i.e., monitoring, reporting and adaptive management). Cedar consulted with Indigenous groups and Northern Health during development of the HMSP. Records of consultation are available upon request.
Accommodation Policy	8.16	The Proponent shall develop and implement an accommodation policy that requires non-local contractor construction personnel to reside in third-party workforce accommodation camps or other temporary accommodation, unless an exemption is granted under the policy to reside in rental housing for the duration of their employment.	Construction	Compliant	Cedar developed and implemented an accommodation policy that meets the requirements of condition 8.16. This policy is detailed in Section 10.2 of the SEMP.
Electric Power	8.17	The Proponent shall utilize, from the start of operation, electricity from the electrical grid for the pre-treatment and liquefaction of natural gas, and continue to utilize electricity from the electrical grid as the primary source of power during operation.	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Mobile Equipment Inspection	8.18	The Proponent shall implement, during all phases of the Designated Project, a regular inspection and maintenance program for all mobile vehicles and equipment required for the Designated Project to ensure the vehicles and equipment are maintained in a state of good repair and in accordance with the manufacturers' specifications, and document the results of any inspection and/or maintenance activity conducted.	All Phases	Compliant	The Environmental Inspector conducted routine visual inspections of mobile vehicles and equipment over the reporting period.
Mobile Equipment Inspection	8.18.1	The Proponent shall not remove emission control technologies from mobile vehicles and equipment, unless removal is required for repair or maintenance activities, in which case the Proponent shall reinstall or replace the technologies before the Proponent returns the mobile vehicles and equipment to service.	All Phases	Compliant	Construction contractors are required to comply with the conditions of the Decision Statement, including leaving emission control technologies on mobile vehicles and equipment. The Environmental Inspector conducted routine visual inspections of mobile vehicles and equipment over the reporting period.
9. Community Feedback Protocol					

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Community Feedback	9.1	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Indigenous groups, and implement, during all phases of the Designated Project, a community feedback protocol with respect to adverse federal effects. As part of the development of the community feedback protocol, the Proponent shall identify how feedback will be ranked and responded to according to the anticipated level of impacts. The Proponent shall provide the community feedback protocol to the Agency and Indigenous groups prior to construction. As part of the implementation of the community feedback protocol, the Proponent shall:	Construction	Compliant	The Community Feedback Process (Document No. PC21258-RG-PRO-00001) has been implemented to address the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 9.1. Cedar consulted with Indigenous groups during development of the Community Feedback Process. Records of consultation are available upon request.
Community Feedback	9.1.1	communicate the details of the community feedback protocol to Indigenous groups, using targeted communication procedures designed in consultation with Indigenous groups during the development of the community feedback protocol, including details on how a person may provide feedback, how the Proponent will handle the feedback received and how the Proponent may implement modified or additional mitigation measure(s) and/or follow-up requirement(s) in response to the feedback received;	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Community Feedback Process has been developed to address condition 9.1 and was implemented over the reporting period. In March 2024, Cedar established communication methods for sharing information about the Project with the public and enabling the public to submit comments or concerns about the Project as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dedicated Project website: www.cedarlng.com • Telephone line: 250-789-4901 • Email address: feedback@cedarlng.com • Online form: An online form on Cedar's website allows the public to submit feedback anonymously. On September 26, 2025, Cedar published a Project update and information about the Community Feedback Process. On September 9, 2025 Cedar published a Summer/Fall 2025 newsletter which included information about the Community Feedback Process.
Community Feedback	9.1.2	record any feedback received as soon as feasible, no later than 48 hours after receiving the feedback;	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Community Feedback Process has been developed to address condition 9.1 and was implemented over the reporting period. All feedback received via the community feedback process is recorded and documented within 48 hours of receipt.
Community Feedback	9.1.3	implement, as soon as technically feasible, any modified or additional mitigation measure and/or follow-up requirement that the Proponent deems necessary to respond to the feedback received;	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's Community Feedback Process has been developed to address condition 9.1 and was implemented over the reporting period. No complaints were received over the reporting period. Accordingly, the need to modify or add mitigation measures was not identified.
Community Feedback	9.1.4	prepare and provide to Indigenous groups, at a frequency determined during the development of the community feedback protocol, summary report(s) of the feedback received during the reporting period, including any location-specific information available in relation to the feedback received, a description of any modified or additional mitigation measure and/or follow-up requirement implemented by the Proponent in response to the feedback and the time interval taken by the Proponent to implement the mitigation measure(s) and/or follow-up requirement(s), or, if the Proponent determined that no technically and economically feasible mitigation measure(s) and/or follow-up requirement(s) under its care and control can be implemented in response to the feedback, a justification for that determination;	Construction	Compliant	A summary report addressing the requirements of Condition 9.1.4 for the period of March 14, 2025, to February 28, 2026, will be provided to Indigenous Nations in March 2025 in accordance with the Community Feedback process. No complaints were received over the reporting period. Accordingly, the need to modify or add mitigation measures was not identified.
Community Feedback	9.1.5	offer to meet with each Indigenous group to discuss the summary report(s) referred to in condition 9.1.4 and any change required to the community feedback protocol to improve its implementation, and hold any requested meeting at the convenience of Indigenous groups; and	Construction	Compliant	Cedar extends an invitation to Indigenous groups to discuss the summary report at the time the report is provided (March 2026)

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Community Feedback	9.1.6	if the Proponent makes any change to the community feedback protocol to improve its implementation, provide the updated community feedback protocol to Indigenous groups.	Construction	Compliant	No changes were made to the Community Feedback Process over the reporting period. Cedar will engage Indigenous groups regarding changes to the Community Feedback Process in accordance with the process outlined in that document.
10. Terrestrial Environment					
Vegetation	10.1	The Proponent shall delineate, prior to construction, areas within which the Proponent shall not undertake any construction activity, including vegetation clearing, unless required to meet the safety and design requirements of the Designated Project, as determined by a Qualified Professional.	Construction	Compliant	The Marine Terminal construction footprint was delineated with flagging prior to the start of clearing activities.
Invasive Plants	10.2	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Haisla Nation and relevant authorities, and implement, during all phases of the Designated Project, measures to limit the establishment and spread of invasive plant species (including their seed, plant parts or propagules) within the local assessment area for vegetation (marine terminal) shown on Figure 7.4.1 of the Application and to and from this area. The Proponent shall provide these measures to the Agency prior to implementing them.	Construction	Compliant	Section 13 of the CEMP outlines measures to be undertaken by Cedar to limit the spread of invasive plant species. The CEMP provided to the Agency on July 9, 2024. The CEMP was developed in consultation with Haisla Nation. Known areas of invasive weed infestations within the Marine Terminal terrestrial footprint were identified and treated prior to the start of clearing works in 2024.
Reclamation	10.3	The Proponent shall conduct progressive reclamation of areas on Crown land temporarily disturbed by the Designated Project once they are no longer required for the Designated Project. In doing so, the Proponent shall identify, in consultation with Haisla Nation, plant species of interest to Indigenous peoples for use in establishing self-sustaining vegetation communities where active reclamation is to occur on Crown land.	All Phases	N/A	All work completed on Crown land are still required for the Project. Once they are no longer required, this Condition will be addressed.
Windthrow Management	10.4	The Proponent shall have a Qualified Professional determine if measures are required to reduce windthrow (including tree uprooting and stem breakage) along forest edges within old forest communities located in the local assessment area for vegetation (marine terminal) shown on Figure 7.4.1 of the Application. If the Qualified Professional determines that any such measure is required, the Proponent shall implement the measure(s) and provide the measure(s) to the Agency prior to their implementation.	Construction	Compliant	Not applicable for this reporting period as no clearing of old forest communities occurred. Based on the recent Amendment, Cedar does not plan vegetation clearing within areas of old forest, and this mitigation measure will not be required.
Amphibians	10.5	Prior to undertaking any activity required for the Designated Project (including vegetation clearing, grubbing and grading) that may adversely affect western toad (<i>Anaxyrus boreas</i>) during the breeding and post-breeding dispersal periods for the species, the Proponent shall undertake surveys, under the direction of a Qualified Professional, to identify the location of breeding site(s) for western toad (<i>Anaxyrus boreas</i>) within the local assessment area for wildlife (marine terminal) shown on Figure 7.5.1 of the Application.	Construction	Compliant	Locations of breeding sites for western toad were identified prior to this reporting period. Over the reporting period, breeding and post-breeding dispersal sites for western toad were identified during wildlife surveys undertaken within the alternate transmission line corridor in support of the amendment application.
Amphibians	10.6	If any breeding site for western toad (<i>Anaxyrus boreas</i>) is identified during the surveys undertaken in accordance with condition 10.5, the Proponent shall give preference to not undertaking any activity required for the Designated Project that may adversely affect such site and that is planned within 30 metres of each site during the breeding and post-breeding dispersal periods for the species.	Construction	Compliant	Locations of breeding sites for western toad were identified prior to this reporting period. Additional surveys were undertaken prior to vegetation clearing and grubbing during the amphibian breeding period. Section 14 of the CEMP specifies that any obligatory construction activities that will interact with an amphibian breeding site during breeding season or areas within 30 m of these sites during the dispersal period will undergo a salvage and relocation program prior to and during construction activity. Amphibian surveys (including western toad) were undertaken in spring and summer 2025 prior to clearing. Where amphibians were encountered, Qualified Professionals, under a BC Wildlife Permit, relocated them to safe habitat.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Amphibians	10.6.1.	Where the Proponent must undertake any activity required for the Designated Project that may adversely affect western toad (<i>Anaxyrus boreas</i>) within the spatial and temporal restrictions referred to in condition 10.6, the Proponent shall have a Qualified Professional develop additional species-specific measures to mitigate adverse effects on western toad (<i>Anaxyrus boreas</i>), including a salvage program that considers the recommendations for salvage operations set out in British Columbia's <i>Best Management Practices for Amphibian and Reptile Salvages in British Columbia</i> . The Proponent shall implement any such measure before and during the activity and provide the measure(s) to the Agency prior to their implementation.	Construction	Compliant	Section 14 of the CEMP specifies that any obligatory construction activities that will interact with an amphibian breeding site during breeding season or areas within 30 m of these sites during the dispersal period will undergo a salvage and relocation program prior to and during construction activity. Amphibian surveys (including western toad) were undertaken in spring and summer 2025 prior to clearing. Where amphibians were encountered, Qualified Professionals, under a BC Wildlife Permit, relocated them to safe habitat.
Amphibians	10.7	Prior to undertaking any activity required for the Designated Project (including vegetation clearing, grubbing and grading) that may adversely affect coastal tailed frog (<i>Ascaphus truei</i>), the Proponent shall undertake surveys, under the direction of a Qualified Professional, to identify any watercourse occupied by coastal tailed frog (<i>Ascaphus truei</i>) at all times of the year within the local assessment area for wildlife (marine terminal) shown on Figure 7.5.1 of the Application.	Construction	Compliant	Activities that may adversely affect watercourses occupied by coastal tailed frog did not take place in 2024. Coastal tailed frog surveys were undertaken in March 2024..
Amphibians	10.8	If any watercourse occupied by coastal tailed frog (<i>Ascaphus truei</i>) at all times of the year is identified during the surveys undertaken in accordance with condition 10.7, the Proponent shall give preference to not undertaking any activity required for the Designated Project that may adversely affect such watercourse within a minimum of 30 metres of that watercourse. The Proponent shall have a Qualified Professional determine the width of the restricted area for each watercourse.	Construction	Compliant	Activities that may adversely affect watercourses occupied by coastal tailed frog did not take place in 2024. Section 14 of the CEMP specifies that any obligatory construction activities within 30 m of a watercourse known to be occupied by coastal tailed frog will undergo additional sediment control measures and undergo a salvage and relocation program prior to and during construction activity.
Amphibians	10.8.1	Where the Proponent must undertake any activity required for the Designated Project that may adversely affect watercourse(s) occupied by coastal tailed frog (<i>Ascaphus truei</i>) at all times of the year within a minimum of 30 metres of that watercourse, the Proponent shall have a Qualified Professional develop additional species-specific mitigation measures, including a salvage program that considers the recommendations for salvage operations set out in British Columbia's <i>Best Management Practices for Amphibian and Reptile Salvages in British Columbia</i> . The Proponent shall implement any such measure before and during the activity and provide the measure(s) to the Agency prior to their implementation.	Construction	Compliant	Activities that may adversely affect watercourses occupied by coastal tailed frog did not take place in 2024. Section 14 of the CEMP specifies that any obligatory construction activities within 30 m of a watercourse known to be occupied by coastal tailed frog will undergo additional sediment control measures and undergo a salvage and relocation program prior to and during instream construction activity as determined by the Environmental Inspector in consultation with a Qualified Professional.
Amphibians	10.9	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Haisla Nation and Environment and Climate Change Canada, and implement, during all phases of the Designated Project, a follow-up program with respect to adverse effects on western toad (<i>Anaxyrus boreas</i>) and coastal tailed frog (<i>Ascaphus truei</i>). The Proponent shall take into account the recommendations for post-salvage monitoring set out in British Columbia's <i>Best Management Practices for Amphibian and Reptile Salvages in British Columbia</i> when developing and implementing the follow-up program. As part of the implementation of the follow-up program, the Proponent shall:	Construction	Compliant	The Wildlife Follow-up Program (Document No. PC21258A-EV-MEM-00002) addresses the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 10.9. The Wildlife Follow-up Program was provided to the Agency on July 8, 2024. Cedar consulted with Haisla Nation and Environment and Climate Change; records of consultation are available upon request.
Amphibians	10.9.1	monitor changes to habitat for western toad (<i>Anaxyrus boreas</i>) and coastal tailed frog (<i>Ascaphus truei</i>) caused by the Designated Project and their use of relocations sites referred to in condition 10.6.1 and 10.8.1 and any restored, enhanced or created wetland referred to in condition 10.12.1.2.	Construction	Compliant	The Wildlife Follow-up Program includes methods for monitoring changes to habitat at relocation sites.
Bats (Little Brown Myotis)	10.10	The Proponent shall give preference to avoiding vegetation clearing required for the Designated Project during risk timing windows for little brown myotis (<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>) set out in British Columbia's <i>Compendium of Wildlife Guidelines for Industrial Development Projects in the North Area, British Columbia</i> . Where the Proponent plans to undertake vegetation clearing during these periods, the Proponent shall conduct pre-vegetation clearing surveys, under the direction of a Qualified Professional, to identify if any roost, hibernacula or maternity roost site is present in any of the areas to be cleared.	Construction	Compliant	Section 14 of the CEMP includes measures related to condition 10.10. Surveys for bat roost sites were undertaken prior to the start of clearing activities in 2024. Pre-clearing bat roost inspections and, where determined to be necessary by a Qualified Professional, acoustic surveys were completed in April, June, July, August, and September 2024. No active roosts or hibernacula were identified in the clearing area. The wildlife follow-up program includes provisions for identifying new bat roosts if discovered in subsequent phases.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Bats (Little Brown Myotis)	10.10.1	If any roost, hibernacula or maternity roost site is identified in any of the areas to be cleared during the surveys undertaken in accordance with condition 10.10, the Proponent shall have a Qualified Professional determine if additional or modified species-specific mitigation measures are required to protect little brown myotis (<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>). The Proponent shall implement any such measure before and during vegetation clearing occurring in that area and provide the measure(s) to the Agency prior to their implementation.	Construction	Compliant	The Wildlife Follow-up Program includes modifications to or additional mitigation measures to satisfy condition 10.10.1. No active roosts or hibernacula were identified in the areas cleared over the reporting period. One potential hibernaculum site was identified within the area to be cleared. This site was inspected for occupancy and exclusion measures implemented in August 2024 prior to onset of the bat hibernation period. The wildlife follow-up program includes provisions for identifying new bat roosts if discovered in subsequent phases.
Bats (Little Brown Myotis)	10.11	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Haisla Nation and Environment and Climate Change Canada, and implement, during construction, a follow-up program with respect to adverse effects on little brown myotis (<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>) and their habitat.	Construction	Compliant	The Wildlife Follow-up Program (Document No. PC21258A-EV-MEM-00002) addresses the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 10.11. The Wildlife Follow-up Program was provided to the Agency on July 8, 2024. Cedar consulted with Haisla Nation and Environment and Climate Change; records of consultation are available upon request.
Wetlands	10.12	The Proponent shall develop, prior to construction and in consultation with Haisla Nation and relevant authorities (including Environment and Climate Change Canada), and implement a follow-up program with respect to adverse federal effects on wetlands and their functions. In doing so, the Proponent shall:	Construction	Compliant	The Wetlands Follow-up Program (Document No. PC21258A-EV-MEM-00004) addresses the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 10.12. The Wetlands Follow-up Program was provided to the Agency on July 8, 2024. Cedar consulted with Haisla Nation and Environment and Climate Change; records of consultation are available upon request.
Wetlands	10.12.1	as part of the development of the follow-up program:	Construction	Compliant	The Wetlands Follow-up memo was completed prior to the reporting year to meet the requirements of condition 10.12.1.
Wetlands	10.12.1.1	validate the areal extent of wetlands (including by size, wetland function(s) and distribution in the landscape) that may be directly or indirectly adversely affected by the Designated Project, taking into account the final design of the Designated Project;	Construction	Compliant	The Wetlands Follow-up memo was completed prior to the reporting year to meet the requirements of condition 10.12.1.
Wetlands	10.12.1.2	determine whether the Proponent will implement wetland compensation for residual adverse federal effects on wetlands and their functions that cannot be avoided or minimized (including habitat functions for migratory birds and listed species at risk), taking into account any applicable published guidance by Environment and Climate Change Canada. If the Proponent determines that wetland compensation is required, the Proponent shall implement wetland compensation by prioritizing wetland restoration over enhancement and wetland enhancement over creation, and consult Indigenous groups and Environment and Climate Change Canada to determine how wetland compensation will be implemented;	Construction	Compliant	The wetlands affected by the Project are not provincially listed plant communities; estuaries; in an area with significant loss of wetlands, or an area of regional or continental significance to waterfowl.
Wetlands	10.12.1.3	if the Proponent determines in accordance with condition 10.12.1.2 that wetland compensation is not required, provide a justification for that determination when providing the information about the follow-up program in accordance with condition 2.7;	Construction	Compliant	The Wetlands Follow-up memo was completed and included justification for the determination that no wetland compensation was anticipated to be required. The Wetlands Follow-up Program was provided to the Agency on July 8, 2024.
Wetlands	10.12.2	as part of the implementation of the follow-up program:	Construction	Compliant	The Wetlands Follow-up memo was completed prior to the reporting year to meet the requirements of condition 10.12.1.
Wetlands	10.12.2.1	monitor, annually during construction, the integrity (including their wetland function(s)) of the residual wetlands that are directly or indirectly affected by the Designated Project (including residual wetlands left for natural revegetation); and	Construction	Compliant	The Wetlands Follow-up memo was completed prior to the reporting year to meet the requirements of condition 10.12.1.
Wetlands	10.12.2.2	monitor the integrity (including the wetland function(s)) of any restored, enhanced or created wetland that the Proponent is implementing in accordance with condition 10.12.1.2.	Construction	Compliant	The Wetlands Follow-up memo was completed prior to the reporting year to meet the requirements of condition 10.12.1.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
11. Independent Environmental Monitor					
IEM	11.1	The Proponent shall retain, prior to construction and in consultation with Haisla Nation and relevant authorities, the services of a third-party independent environmental monitor, who is a Qualified Professional with experience conducting environmental monitoring in British Columbia, to independently observe and record on the implementation in the Facility Area and the Transmission Line Corridor (Figure 2 of Schedule 1 of the Decision Statement) of the conditions set out in this Decision Statement during construction and the first year of operation.	Construction	N/A	Over the reporting period, Cedar implemented the Terms of Engagement for the Independent Environmental Monitor (IEM) in consultation with Haisla Nation. Cedar's IEM, Mr. Jason Cote (R.P.Bio., Atna Environmental), was approved by the BC EAO on July 4, 2024. The Terms of Engagement require the IEM to submit monitoring reports directly to the Agency, BC EAO, and Haisla Nation either before, or concurrently with, Cedar. Monitoring reports prepared in the reporting year were concurrently submitted to the Agency, BC EAO, Haisla Nation, and Cedar. No non-compliances were identified.
IEM	11.2	The Proponent shall require the independent environmental monitor to report to the Agency, in writing, prior to or concurrent with reporting to the Proponent, about the implementation of any condition set out in this Decision Statement during construction and the first year of operation. The Proponent shall require the independent environmental monitor to report the information to the Agency at a frequency and in a format determined in consultation with the Agency.	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	The IEM Terms of Engagement include the following pursuant to condition 11.2: "The EAO and IAAC will receive the IEM report prior to, or concurrently with, the delivery of the report to Cedar." In addition, the Terms of Engagement provide authority to the Agency to direct the frequency and scope of the IEM inspections. Eight IEM monitoring reports were prepared between July and December 2024 and submitted to the Agency. No non-compliances were identified.
IEM	11.3	The Proponent shall require the independent environmental monitor to retain the information reported to the Agency and the Proponent pursuant to condition 11.2 for at least five years following reporting.	Construction/ Operation	Compliant	The <i>Professional Governance Act</i> requires professionals such as the IEM to retain information for more than five years.
12. Accidents and Malfunctions					
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.1	The Proponent shall take all reasonable measures to prevent accidents and malfunctions that may result in adverse federal effects, and shall mitigate adverse federal effects from accidents and malfunctions that do occur. As part of these measures, the Proponent shall:	All Phases	Compliant	Cedar is designing and constructing the Project in accordance with applicable codes and standards. The design of the Project is regulated by the BC Energy Regulator through the LNG Facility Permit process. In addition, the CEMP documents mitigation measures to avoid or reduce environmental effects, including federal effects, during construction.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.1.1	design the onshore infrastructure required for the Designated Project to specified seismic design criteria in applicable codes and standards, as certified by a Qualified Professional;	All Phases	Compliant	The onshore components of the Project are being designed by professional engineers registered in British Columbia. Professional Engineers are required by the <i>Professional Governance Act</i> to design the Project in accordance with applicable codes and standards.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.1.2	refuel vehicles and equipment required for the Designated Project only within designated refueling areas to reduce potential fuel spills into the marine environment, and conduct any refueling activity under constant supervision and in a manner to prevent drippings onto the ground; and	All Phases	Compliant	Section 6.1 of the CEMP outlines measures to reduce the potential for fuel spills and includes the requirement for refueling only in designated areas with the appropriate mitigations (i.e., spill trays, spill kits).
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.1.3	implement, during operation, a regular inspection and maintenance program for the floating LNG facility to ensure the facility's equipment and infrastructure are maintained in a state of good repair and in accordance with the manufacturers' specifications, and document the results of any inspection and/or maintenance activity conducted.	Operation	Future Phase	Not applicable for the reporting period.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.2	The Proponent shall consult, prior to construction, Indigenous groups and relevant authorities about the measures to be implemented to prevent accidents and malfunctions.	Construction	Compliant	Cedar's CEMP and Accidents, Malfunctions and Communications Plan (AMCP) underwent review by Indigenous groups in accordance with the conditions of the Decision Statement and Environmental Assessment Certificate.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.3	The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Indigenous groups and relevant authorities (including Canadian Coast Guard), and implement an Accident and Malfunction Response Plan for each phase of the Designated Project. The Proponent shall develop each Plan prior to the phase to which it pertains, and provide each plan to the Agency prior to that phase. As part of the development of the Plan applicable to operation, the Proponent shall demonstrate how the Designated Project is consistent with the Canadian Standards Association's CSA Z246.2 standard for emergency preparedness and response for petroleum and natural gas industry systems. As part of each Plan, the Proponent shall describe:	All Phases	Compliant	Cedar developed the AMCP to address the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 12.3.. The AMCP was provided to the Agency on July 9, 2024. As part of AMCP development, Cedar consulted with Indigenous groups, BC Wildfire Service, BC Energy Regulator, Canadian Coast Guard, Kitimat Fire & Ambulance Service, Kitimat Search & Rescue, Ministry of Emergency Management & Climate Readiness, Northern Health, Regional District of Kitimat-Stikine, Kitimat RCMP, Terrace RCMP, Terrace Fire Department, Thornhill Fire Department, Transport Canada, and the West Coast Marine Response Corporation. Records of consultation are available upon request. The 'Applicable Regulations' section of the AMCP addresses consistency with CSA Z246.2.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.3.1	a description of the types of accidents and malfunctions that may cause adverse federal effects during that phase;	All Phases	Compliant	This information is provided in Section 4.1.2.3 of the AMCP.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.3.2	the measures to be implemented in response to each type of accidents and malfunctions referred to in condition 12.3.1 to mitigate any adverse federal effects caused by the accident or malfunction; and	All Phases	Compliant	Measures to be implemented in response to accidents and malfunctions that may cause adverse federal effects are provided in the following sections of the AMCP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hazardous Materials Spills/Product Release (Section 9.3.2) • Hazardous Materials Spills/Product Release – Marine (Section 9.3.3) • Fire/Explosion (Section 9.3.4)
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.3.3	for each type of accident and malfunction referred to in condition 12.3.1, the roles and responsibilities of the Proponent and each applicable relevant authority or other party that may be called upon to respond to an accident or malfunction in implementing the measures referred to in condition 12.3.2.	All Phases	Compliant	The roles and responsibilities of Cedar LNG personnel responding to an accident or malfunction causing adverse federal effects are provided in Section 6.1 of the AMCP. The Regulatory Reporting Matrix provided in Section 4.1.3 details the relevant regulatory agency that may be called upon to respond to an accident or malfunction.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.4	The Proponent shall maintain each Accident and Malfunction Response Plan referred to in condition 12.3 up-to-date during the phase to which it pertains. The Proponent shall submit any updated plan to the Agency and to parties consulted for the development of the Plan within 30 days of the Plan being updated.	All Phases	Compliant	The requirement for Cedar to submit an updated AMCP to the Agency and consulted within 30 days of the plan being updated is noted in the Version History section of the AMCP.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.5	The Proponent shall provide training to all relevant Designated Project employees on the measures referred to in condition 12.1 to prevent accidents and malfunctions that may result in adverse federal effects and on the response measures included in the Accident and Malfunction Response Plan referred to in condition 12.3. The Proponent shall document the participation of employees in the training.	All Phases	Compliant	On July 18, 2024, Cedar provided training regarding the AMCP to project staff. Training is provided to contractor staff as part of their onboarding. CEDAR maintains records of participation in these training sessions.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.6	The Proponent shall participate, at the request of a relevant federal authority, in regional initiatives related to the development of shipping-related spill response plans or other agreements subject to the requirements of the <i>Canada Shipping Act, 2001</i> and its regulations. In doing so, the Proponent shall:	All Phases	Compliant	Over the reporting period, Cedar was not asked to participate in regional initiatives related to the development of shipping-related spill response plans or other agreements subject to the requirements of the Canada Shipping Act, 2001 and its regulations. Cedar is a member of the North Coast Proactive Vessel Management Navigation Committee, which meets quarterly. NCPVM hosted one meeting in February 2025 which Cedar LNG attended..

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.6.1	facilitate the involvement of any interested Indigenous group in any such initiative, in a manner determined by the Proponent;	All Phases	Compliant	Over the reporting period, Cedar was not asked to participate in regional initiatives related to the development of shipping-related spill response plans or other agreements subject to the requirements of the Canada Shipping Act, 2001 and its regulations.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.6.2	implement any technically and economically feasible mitigation measure or follow-up program, within the care and control of the Proponent, identified through any such initiative; and	All Phases	Compliant	Over the reporting period, Cedar was not asked to participate in regional initiatives related to the development of shipping-related spill response plans or other agreements subject to the requirements of the Canada Shipping Act, 2001 and its regulations.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.6.3	provide to the Agency, as part of the annual report referred to in condition 2.10, and to Indigenous groups, a description of its participation in any such initiative during the reporting year, including a description of how the Proponent has facilitated the participation of Indigenous groups in accordance with condition 12.6.1 and of any mitigation measure or follow-up program implemented (or proposed to be implemented) pursuant to condition 12.6.2.	All Phases	Compliant	Over the reporting period, Cedar was not asked to participate in regional initiatives related to the development of shipping-related spill response plans or other agreements subject to the requirements of the <i>Canada Shipping Act</i> , 2001 and its regulations.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7	In the event of an accident or malfunction with the potential to cause adverse federal effects, the Proponent shall immediately implement the measures appropriate to the accident or malfunction, including any measure referred to in condition 12.3.2, and shall:	All Phases	Compliant	Section 2 of the AMCP states that the plan will be implemented in the event of a potential incident. No such accidents or malfunctions occurred over the reporting period.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.1	implement the Accident and Malfunction Communication Plan referred to in condition 12.8;	All Phases	Compliant	In the event of an accident or malfunction with the potential to cause adverse federal effects, Cedar will activate the ACMP and the appropriate response structure. Details on activation of the plan are provided in Section 2.0 and details on the activation of a response structure are detailed in sections 2.1 and 2.2.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.2	notify relevant authorities with responsibilities related to emergency response (including environmental emergencies) in accordance with applicable legislative and regulatory requirements;	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1 of the AMCP requires the notification of applicable regulators in the event of an emergency.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.3	notify, as soon as possible and pursuant to the Accident and Malfunction Communication Plan referred to in condition 12.8, Indigenous groups of the accident or malfunction, and notify the Agency in writing no later than 24 hours following the accident or malfunction. When notifying Indigenous groups and the Agency, the Proponent shall specify:	All Phases	Compliant	Sections 4.1.2.4 and 4.3.4 of the AMCP requires the notification of Indigenous Nations whose territories may be affected concurrently with notification of the Agency. This is specified to occur no later than 24 hours after the incident if it has adverse effects.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.3.1	the date and time when and location where the accident or malfunction occurred;	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires this information to be provided to relevant Indigenous Nations and the Agency.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.3.2	a summary description of the accident or malfunction;	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires this information to be provided to relevant Indigenous Nations and the Agency.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.3.3	a list of any substance potentially released into the environment as a result of the accident or malfunction; and	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires this information to be provided to relevant Indigenous Nations and the Agency.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.3.4	a description of the relevant authorities notified pursuant to condition 12.7.2;	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires this information to be provided to relevant Indigenous Nations and the Agency.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.4	submit a written report to the Agency no later than 30 days after the day on which the accident or malfunction occurred. The written report shall include:	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires Cedar to submit a written report to the IAAC no later than 30 days after the day on which the accident or malfunction occurred.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.4.1	a detailed description of the accident or malfunction and of its adverse federal effects;	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires Cedar to submit a written report to the Agency containing the information specified in this condition.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.4.2	a description of the measures that were taken by the Proponent to mitigate the adverse federal effects caused by the accident or malfunction;	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires Cedar to submit a written report to the Agency containing the information specified in this condition.

APPENDIX A: Table of Concordance

Topic	DS Condition Number	Decision Statement Condition	Project Phase	Compliance Status	Compliance Details
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.4.3	any view from Indigenous groups and advice from relevant authorities received with respect to the accident or malfunction, its adverse federal effects and the measures taken by the Proponent to mitigate these adverse federal effects;	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires Cedar to submit a written report to the Agency containing the information specified in this condition.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.4.4	a description of any residual adverse federal effects and any modified or additional measure required by the Proponent to mitigate residual adverse federal effects; and	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires Cedar to submit a written report to the Agency containing the information specified in this condition.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.4.5.	details concerning the implementation of the Accident and Malfunction Response Plan referred to in condition 12.3;	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires Cedar to submit a written report to the Agency containing the information specified in this condition.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.5	submit a written report to the Agency no later than 90 days after the day on which the accident or malfunction occurred, taking into account the information submitted in the written report referred to in condition 12.7.4, that includes:	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires Cedar to submit a written report to the Agency no later than 90 days after the day on which the accident or malfunction occurred containing the information specified in this condition.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.5.1	a description of the changes made to avoid a subsequent occurrence of the accident or malfunction;	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires Cedar to submit a written report to the Agency no later than 90 days after the day on which the accident or malfunction occurred containing the information specified in condition 12.7.5.1.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.5.2.	a description of the modified or additional measure(s) implemented by the Proponent to mitigate and monitor residual adverse federal effects and to carry out any required progressive reclamation; and	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires Cedar to submit a written report to the Agency no later than 90 days after the day on which the accident or malfunction occurred containing the information specified in condition 12.7.5.2.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.7.5.3	all additional views from Indigenous groups and advice from relevant authorities received by the Proponent since the views and advice referred to in condition 12.7.4.3 were received by the Proponent.	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4.1.2.4 of the AMCP requires Cedar to submit a written report to the Agency no later than 90 days after the day on which the accident or malfunction occurred containing the information specified in condition 12.7.5.3.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.8	The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Indigenous groups, an Accident and Malfunction Communication Plan. The Proponent shall develop the Plan prior to construction and shall implement and keep it up to date during all phases of the Designated Project. The Plan shall include:	All Phases	Compliant	The AMCP addresses the requirements of Decision Statement Condition 12.3 for the reporting period. The AMCP was provided to the Agency on July 9, 2024. As part of AMCP development, Cedar consulted with Indigenous groups, BC Wildfire Service, BC Energy Regulator, Canadian Coast Guard, Kitimat Fire & Ambulance Service, Kitimat Search & Rescue, Ministry of Emergency Management & Climate Readiness, Northern Health, Regional District of Kitimat-Stikine, Kitimat RCMP, Terrace RCMP, Terrace Fire Department, Thornhill Fire Department, Transport Canada, and the West Coast Marine Response Corporation. Records of consultation are available upon request. No such accidents or malfunctions occurred in 2024.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.8.1	the types of accidents and malfunctions requiring the Proponent to notify each Indigenous group;	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4 of the Accident Malfunctions and Communications Plan contains the information specified in condition 12.8.1.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.8.2	the manner by which Indigenous groups shall be notified by the Proponent of an accident or malfunction and of any opportunity for the Indigenous groups to assist in the response to the accident or malfunction; and	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4 of the Accident Malfunctions and Communications Plan contains the information specified condition 12.8.2.
Accidents & Malfunctions	12.8.3	the contact information that Indigenous groups may use to communicate with the Proponent and the contact information for each Indigenous group that the Proponent shall use to provide notification.	All Phases	Compliant	Section 4 of the Accident Malfunctions and Communications Plan contains the information specified in condition 12.8.3.